CA-Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Board
Wednesday, January 24, 2024 at 4 pm
In-Person Meeting

AGENDA

Join Zoom Meeting
https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83543174168?pwd=aTBQVnENTQ4Yi94TmJ5dE9RQkkwdz09 (for Remote Participation in Compliance with Adopted Remote Meeting Policy, Guest Speakers, and Members of Public)
Meeting ID: 835 4317 4168  Passcode: 639970  Dial in: 1-646-558-8656

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Time†</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4:00 – 4:05</td>
<td>Call to Order &amp; Attendance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2    | 4:05-4:10 | Matters from the Public: limit of 3 minutes per speaker  
Public are welcome to provide comment on any transportation-related topic, including the items listed on this agenda, and/or comment during items marked with an * |
| 3    | 4:10-4:15 | General Administration * - Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO  
  - Introductions of new members  
  - Review and Acceptance of the Agenda*  
  - Approval of [December 11, 2023 Meeting Minutes] |
| 4    | 4:15-4:20 | Officer Elections* – CA-MPO Nominating Committee  
  - Nominating Committee Recommendation  
  - Nominations from the floor  
    o Chair & Vice Chair |
| 5    | 4:20-4:25 | Meeting Schedule for 2024* – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO  
  - January 24, 2024  
  - February 28, 2024  
  - March 27, 2024 (March 19, 2024 suggested alternate date if needed to accommodate Albemarle County BOS budget meeting)  
  - April 24, 2024  
  - May 22, 2024  
  - June 26, 2024  
  - July 24, 2024  
  - August 28, 2024  
  - September 25, 2024  
  - October 23, 2024  
  - December 3, 2024 (First Tuesday of the month to accommodate Thanksgiving) |
| 6    | 4:30-4:35 | Resolution of Support for Rivanna River Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge RAISE Grant Application* – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO  
  - Resolution of Support |
| 7    | 4:35-4:50 | VDOT Project Pipeline – Chuck Proctor, CA-MPO  
  - Project update and discussion |
| 8    | 4:50-5:10 | SMART SCALE Round 6 Project Selection* – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO & VDOT Staff  
  - Eligible project descriptions and staff recommendations |
| 9    | 5:10-5:30 | US 29 and Fontaine Avenue Interchange Improvements Project Discussion – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO & VDOT Staff  
  - Technical Memo |
| 10   | 5:30-5:40 | Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Amendments and Modifications* – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO  
  - TIP Amendment Memos*  
  - TIP Modification Memos  
  - Revised TIP Document |
| 11   | 5:40-5:50 | Moving Toward 2050 – Sandy Shackelford, CA-MPO  
  - Completed Needs Assessment Outputs  
  - Candidate Projects |
| 12   | 5:50-5:55 | Staff Updates  
  - Section 5310 Mobility Management Grant Application – Lucinda Shannon, CA-MPO  
  - Regional Safety Summit – Curtis Scarpignato, CA-MPO |
| 13   | 5:55-6:00 | Additional Matters from the Public  
Members of the Public are welcome to provide comment (limit of 3 minutes per speaker) |
| 14   | 6:00pm | Adjourn |

† Times are approximate  
* Requires a vote of the Board  
TJPDC fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 in all programs and activities. TJPDC provides reasonable accommodations for persons who require special assistance to participate in public involvement opportunities. For more information, to request translation services or other accommodations, or to obtain a Discrimination Complaint Form, contact (434) 979-7310 or www.tjpdc.org.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOTING MEMBERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ann Mallek, Albemarle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ned Gallaway, Albemarle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Pinkston, Charlottesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie Oschrin, Charlottesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean Nelson, VDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacy Londrey, VDOT (alternate)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NON-VOTING MEMBERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mike Murphy, Jaunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia Monteith, UVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garland Williams, CAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Wagner, DRPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Minor, FHWA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Koenig, FTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Kondor, CTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Jacobs, TJPDC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MPO Policy Board Meeting
Minutes, December 11, 2023
DRAFT

Video of the meeting can be found here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7wsn-AwZWlo

VOTING MEMBERS & ALTERNATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ann Mallek, Albemarle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lucinda Shannon, TJPDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ned Gallaway, Albemarle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gretchen Thomas, TJPDC x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Pinkston, Charlottesville</td>
<td></td>
<td>Christine Jacobs, TJPDC x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd Snook, Charlottesville</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sara Pennington, Rideshare x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean Nelson, VDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Curtis Scarpignato, TJPDC x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacy Londrey, VDOT (alternate)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ruth Emerick, TJPDC x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NON-VOTING MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ted Rieck, Jaunt</td>
<td>Peter Krebs, PEC x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Shackelford, TJPDC</td>
<td>Ben Chambers, City of Charlottesville x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia Monteith, UVA</td>
<td>Jessica Hersch-Baller, Albemarle County x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garland Williams, CAT</td>
<td>Paige DeBold, FHWA * x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Minor, FHWA *</td>
<td>Stephanie Amoaning-Yankson x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Koenig, FTA *</td>
<td>Grant Sparks x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Kondor, CTAC *</td>
<td>Tommy S, City of Charlottesville x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Proctor, VDOT *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Barnes, VDOT (alternate)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Wagner, DRPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* attended online via Zoom

1. CALL TO ORDER (0:00)
The MPO Policy Board Chair, Mr. Brian Pinkston, presided and called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. Sandy Shackelford called roll.

2. MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC (1:25)
   a. Comments by the Public: none

   b. Comments provided via email, online, web site, etc.: None.

3. GENERAL ADMINISTRATION* (1:56)

   Review and Acceptance of the Agenda

   Motion/Action: Ann Mallek made a motion to approve the agenda as amended, Lloyd Snook seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

   Approval of the October 24 Meeting Minutes
Motion/Action: Lloyd Snook made a motion to approve the minutes. Ann Mallek seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

4. APPOINTMENT OF OFFICER NOMINATION COMMITTEE (3:10)
   Brian Pinkston explained the nomination process. He noted that Sean Nelson, Julia Monteith, and Ann Mallek both volunteered to be on the committee.

5. REGIONAL TRANSIT GOVERNANCE STUDY (5:36)
   Dr. Stephanie Amoaning-Yankson presented the committee with an update on the regional transit governance study for Region 10. She reviewed the background and summarized the main goals. She continued by reviewing the collaboration with the localities, including UVA. She share the potential characteristics for a regional transit authority including how to establish it, who would be part of the membership, and who might be on the board.

   Brian Pinkston said given where the study is and that there is already a carta, he feels it is time to act to get the RTA formally established. There was a discussion about how to best move forward. It was noted that there would be bylaws that need to be created perhaps from previous drafts.

   Ann Mallek said it will be important to take the results of the Transit Governance Study and get approval or a resolution from the Board and the Council to state that they want to move forward towards the creation of the RTA.

6. SMART SCALE PROGRAM UPDATES (32:40)
   Ms. Shackelford presented the committee with a summary of the decision from the December 4 CTB meeting. She noted that the CTB approved a 7-year future congestion factor; approved the update of the economic development measure; approved the High-Priority Program (HPP) eligibility and eliminating step two with modifications from what was previously presented; the three-tier application limit failed and the application caps will remain unchanged from Round 5; and Land Use was removed completely as a scoring factor; and there was an approval of an alternate weighting scenario from what had been previously presented.

   There was a discussion about the elimination of land use as a scoring factor.

   Ms. Shackelford continued by explaining the revisions to the HPP definition.

   She continued by reviewing the potential eligible MPO Projects. It was noted that both the City and the County will need to decide which projects they would like to submit for pre-application in March relatively soon. This information will be reviewed in meetings in the near future.

7. VDOT PROJECT PIPELINE UPDATES (1:05:03)
   Chuck Proctor said the Barracks Road project will have a public meeting in January. They will be selecting a final preferred alternative in February.

   The Ivy Road project will have a public meeting in late January or early February, and they will have a preferred alternative in March.

8. MOVING TOWARD 2050 UPDATES (1:13:17)
   Sandy Shackelford presented the committee with the travel demand outputs. She noted that two model scenarios were run, both the 2021 baseline and 2050 existing + committed.
She shared maps for numerous areas in the localities for various types of access (auto, bicycle, and pedestrian).

   
   **2024 RAISE Grant Application**
   Sandy Shackelford said they received the notice of funding for the RAISE grants. She said they are due on Feb 28, 2024. She noted that there is interest in resubmitting the Rivanna Bike/Ped bridge. She reviewed the next step options in the event that the RAISE grant was approved for that project. It was the consensus that the MPO should move forward with the application for the engineering. The notice of funding award will be in June of 2024.

   **Safe Streets & Roads for All Grant**
   Curtis Scarpignato briefly reviewed the grant and gave information on the current status. He noted that Kimly Horn is the consultant on this project with the MPO. There is the first working group planned for December 14. He noted that there will be a regional safety summit on January 10.

   **Upcoming TIP Modifications**
   Sandy Shackelford noted that in January, she will be presenting the Policy Board with substantive changes to the TIP. She said the Jaunt does not receive federal funding, only state funding, so they will be removed from all the federal funding references. She also said staff are looking to simplify the document for the future as well.

   **Annual Obligations Report**
   Ms. Shackelford said the report has been provided to the committee for review in the agenda packet. There was no other discussion.

9. **ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSION (1:37:22)**
   
   **VDOT** – Sean Nelson reported that there is a public hearing for the 5th street station trail tomorrow at the Albemarle County office building on 5th Street.

   He continued by saying there were concerns about the Fontaine Avenue intersection improvements. He noted that all of the alternatives do not fix the concerns. He will come back to the Policy Board to discuss alternatives for direction from the board on how to move forward.

   Stacy Londrey noted that Michael Barnes is leaving VDOT and will begin working for Albemarle County, so they will be looking to fill his position. She also said there is a junior level planner position which is currently open as well.

   **Albemarle** – Jessica Hersh Ballering said the county is currently working on determining projects to submit for Smart Scale. She said they are also working on their Budget. She gave a more detailed report on the Free Bridge Lane promenade project and noted that the Three Notch’d project has passed legal review and will be starting soon.

   **City** – Ben Chambers said the painting is on pause because there are too many leaves on the ground for painting. He said the bike parking study is complete and they are looking for a place to put new bike parking. Ben said he is looking at different scopes including a great deal of parking. He also said that CAT is working on its transit strategic plan. He said they are also working on their alternative fuel study that should be wrapped
up in January. He also said the City is finishing up its ADA transition plan. He said following that plan, the sidewalk priorities list will be created.

UVA – Julia Monteith did not have anything to report.

TJPDC – Christine Jacobs did not have anything more to report.

FHWA – Steven Minor said Paige DeBold is a new employee who will be working on projects involving the MPO. Ms. DeBold introduced herself to the group.

10. ADDITIONAL MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC
None.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:48 a.m.

The next meeting will be on January 24, 2024 at 4:00 p.m. at the Water Street Center.

Committee materials and meeting recording may be found at https://campo.tjpdc.org/committees/policy-board/
RESOLUTION

SUPPORTING RAISE GRANT APPLICATION TO FUND PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING PHASE FOR THE RIVANNA RIVER BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE

WHEREAS, the US Department of Transportation released a Notice of Funding Opportunity on November 30, 2023 for the Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) discretionary grant program – formerly Better Utilizing Investments to Leverage Development (BUILD) and Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) Discretionary Grants; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Rivanna River Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge between Pantops and Woolen Mills has been identified as a high-priority regional project in multiple planning documents prepared by the City of Charlottesville, Albemarle County, the Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization (CA-MPO), and the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission (TJPDC); and

WHEREAS, substantial effort has been invested through collaboration among the TJPDC, the CA-MPO, the City of Charlottesville, Albemarle County, the Virginia Department of Transportation, and the general public to evaluate potential bridge locations and select a preferred alignment; and

WHEREAS, the unknown risks associated with construction costs for the bridge have resulted in significant contingencies that need to be applied to baseline project costs in any funding application through existing state funding programs; and

WHEREAS, the completion of the preliminary engineering phase would result in better project understanding to develop more accurate estimates of the project construction costs; and

WHEREAS, TJPDC staff submitted a 2023 RAISE grant application for this same project that while not awarded was scored as a “highly recommended” project and identified as a Project of Merit through the RAISE grant program; and

WHEREAS, TJPDC staff will submit a 2024 grant application to complete the preliminary engineering phase of the project to reduce the contingencies and identify opportunities for project construction;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Board is in full support and endorses the RAISE planning grant funding application for the Rivanna River Bicycle and Pedestrian Crossing Preliminary Engineering Phase.

____________________________________
CA-MPO Policy Board Chair

____________________________________
Date
Round 6 – Potential Eligible MPO Projects

• Projects currently identified:
  • DDI at I-64/5th Street (Exit 120)
  • Hillsdale South Extension
    • Additional review is needed; not able to complete in time for application
• Round 5 Pipeline Studies
  • Peter Jefferson Parkway/Rolkin Road Improvements
  • Louisa Road/Milton Road Improvements – Most likely not competitive based on Round 5 scores
• VDOT Pipeline Projects (not yet identified)
  • US 250 and Barracks Road – Current
    • Full set of recommended improvements if study is completed in time
  • Ivy Road, including US 250 interchange – Current
    • Study likely won’t be completed in time for MPO to submit application
1-64/5th Street Interchange Improvement

• Need identified in the 5th Street Corridor Study, January 2021
• Top 100 PSI location in Culpeper District based on 2017-2021 data
• EB ramp performing at LOS F in PM based on 2021 corridor study
• No pedestrian accommodations at existing signals
• Only bike/ped access across the bridge is a narrow concrete walkway
• Corridor study recommendations included:
  • Sidewalks on both sides and Crossings at Signals
  • Conduct full project development and environment study to vet feasibility of a full interchange redesign
  • High level analysis completed during the study indicated that a Diverging Diamond Interchange may be a preferred alternative
• VDOT conducting full study to determine appropriate improvement
5th Street Existing Conditions
Peter Jefferson Parkway & Rolkin Road Pipeline Project Improvements

- Both projects were submitted separately in Round 5 of SMART SCALE
- Peter Jefferson Parkway project was recommended for funding before that project was exchanged for the District Avenue roundabout project in the final consensus scenario
- Must be submitted as a bundle for MPO to be eligible applicant
- The location of the park and ride would have to be re-evaluated
  - If park and ride is not included, project would not be eligible for MPO to submit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Congestion Mitigation</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Accessibility</th>
<th>Economic Development</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter Jefferson Parkway</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Estimate</td>
<td>$20.5 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolkin Road</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Estimate</td>
<td>$11.9 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Peter Jefferson Parkway & Rolkin Road Project

Descriptions

• Peter Jefferson Parkway Intersection Improvements and Access Management:
  • Add a 200-ft right-turn only for eastbound traffic on US 250 (turning right onto Peter Jefferson Parkway) to reduce rear-end crashes at this intersection; the existing eastbound shared right-turn/thru-lane should be converted to thru-only
  • Implement a “Thru-cut” at the US 250/Peter Jefferson Parkway intersection such that thru-movements on the minor approaches are eliminated to maximize signal efficiencies and reduce delay
  • Construct a new 50-space park and ride lot on the northwest corner of the US 250/Peter Jefferson Parkway intersection
  • Complete/close the existing median cut between Pantops Mountain Road and Peter Jefferson Parkway – this access management treatment is intended to improve safety and reduce delay

• Rolkin Road Pedestrian Improvements:
  • An at-grade pedestrian crossing for the northern, eastern, and southern legs of the US250/Rolkin Road intersection with a pedestrian island to be located in the northeastern quadrant of the intersection. These crosswalks require that signals masts will need to be relocated and pedestrian signals to be install for all of the crosswalks
  • Continuation of the 800-ft sidewalk on the southern side of US250 (that currently ends at Rolkin Road) to State Farm Boulevard. The sidewalk will be 5-feet wide, except where adjacent to retaining walls where it will be 6-feet wide
US 250/Milton Road/Louisa Road Project Pipeline Improvements

• Must be submitted as a bundle for MPO to be eligible applicant
• Both projects submitted separately in Round 5 of SMART SCALE; neither scored well

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Congestion Mitigation</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Accessibility</th>
<th>Economic Development</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milton Road</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Estimate</td>
<td>$9.8 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisa Road</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Estimate</td>
<td>$11 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
US 250/Milton Road/Louisa Road Project

Descriptions

• US 250/Louisa Road
  • The project would result in a displaced left-turn for traffic traveling east on US 250 and turning north onto Rt22 (Louisa Road). Existing traffic signals will be modified.

• US 250/Milton Road
  • The project would construct a single lane (150-ft diameter) roundabout at this intersection.
US 250 at Route 22 Partial DLT
Intersection Modification - Displaced Left Turn (DLT)

Date: 8/31/2022
US 250 & Barracks Road Pipeline Study – In Progress

• VTrans needs:
  • Safety
  • Bike/Ped Accessibility/Connectivity
  • Transit Accessibility/Connectivity
US 250 (Ivy Road) Pipeline Study – In Progress

• VTrans Needs:
  • Safety
  • Congestion Mitigation
  • Bike/Ped Accessibility/Connectivity
  • Access
  • Transit Accessibility/Connectivity

• Pipeline study not anticipated to be completed in time for MPO to submit as a bundle
Hillsdale South Extension

• Improvement identified in the Hydraulic Small Area Plan, 2019
• Submitted in SMART SCALE Round 4 (normalized benefit scores in table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Congestion Mitigation</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Accessibility</th>
<th>Economic Development</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated Cost: $34.3 million

• Primary benefit would be congestion mitigation/operational improvements and supporting bike/ped circulation around smaller area

• Project Description:

Extension of Hillsdale Drive south to connect directly to 250. Includes an intersection with Holiday Dr., relocation of the WB on/off ramps on 250, a connection into Kroger’s, Sidewalk and a Shared Use path on Hillsdale, reconfiguration of the NB lanes on 29 at Holiday Dr. for an acceleration lane from Holiday Dr.
Hillsdale South Extension Project Description

• Extension of Hillsdale Drive south to connect directly to 250.
• Intersection with Holiday Dr.
• Relocation of the WB on/off ramps on 250
• Connection into Kroger’s
• Sidewalk and a shared Use path on Hillsdale
• Reconfiguration of the NB lanes on 29 at Holiday Dr. for an acceleration lane from Holiday Dr.

• Project needs additional study to meet
SMART SCALE Round 6 Staff Recommended Applications

• Interchange Improvement at I-64/5th Street (Exit 120)
• Peter Jefferson Parkway/Rolkin Road Improvements
• US 250 and Barracks Road if eligible project identified or entire study is complete in time
• Ivy Road, including US 250 interchange if eligible project identified; entire study likely not to be complete in time for MPO to submit all corridor improvements
Memorandum

To: MPO Committees
From: Sandy Shackelford, Director of Planning and Transportation
Date: January 9, 2024
Reference: Fontaine Interchange Improvement – SMART SCALE Round 4

Purpose:
In Round 4 of SMART SCALE, the Charlottesville-Albemarle MPO submitted an application for a displaced left turn at Fontaine Avenue that was awarded for funding. The original concept for this interchange was a Diverging Diamond Interchange (DDI). However, the DDI had been submitted in previous rounds of SMART SCALE and had not scored well enough to be funded. Therefore, VDOT worked with consultants to develop the displaced left turn as a less expensive alternative in an effort to secure funding for the project. While the MPO Policy Board and Albemarle County Board of Supervisors agreed to move forward with the application, the City, the County, and the Policy Board had reservations in the design as evidenced by documented comments from the Policy Board of preferences for the DDI and concerns for the displaced left turn concept expressed by both the University of Virginia (UVA) and the City of Charlottesville.

In an effort to assess some of the concerns and prior to beginning construction on the project, VDOT worked with a consultant team to explore other options that could potentially be implemented within the award amount funded.

Discussion:

Background
The primary objective of the project as documented in MPO meeting minutes was to address the westbound turning movement from 29 North to I-64. The overall concept of the funded project was to close the left turn movement from 29 North to I-64 westbound and redirect the traffic making that movement through the Fontaine Interchange. Vehicles wishing to access I-64 westbound from 29 North would take the Fontaine exit and have a protected left-turn movement to make a U-turn back onto 29 South in order to access I-64 west (see Figure 1).

All other vehicles would turn right onto Fontaine Avenue. For those vehicles wishing to travel west onto Fontaine Avenue or stay straight to get back onto 29 North, they would turn right off of the exit and make a U-turn at Ray C. Hunt Drive (see Figure 2). Any vehicle traveling along Fontaine Avenue from the west of the interchange wishing to access 29 northbound would also need to go through the interchange to make the U-turn at Ray C. Hunt Drive. To accommodate commercial vehicles, a bulb out was planned to ensure that large vehicles could facilitate that turn.

The proposed project included a shared use path to facilitate bicycle and pedestrian access across the interchange.

Both the City of Charlottesville and UVA expressed concerns related to this alternative design when it was proposed. Primary concerns included:

- The City of Charlottesville was working to make Fontaine Avenue more pedestrian-friendly. The proposed changes would direct interstate traffic onto a local road, including high volumes of truck traffic.
- The Rivanna Trail has connections on both sides of Fontaine Avenue. Additional interstate traffic will make it less safe for trail users to cross Fontaine. Additional pedestrian accommodations such as pedestrian lighting, curb extensions/pedestrian refuges, green space/landscaping/buffering were requested to provide a more cohesive connection to the Fontaine Streetscape project that was initiated by the City.
- The growth scenarios used to evaluate the alternatives were not reflective of planned development by the University, and the proposed project therefore may not provide the benefits that were estimated during the alternatives analysis.
Local traffic along Fontaine Avenue is expected to increase along with planned development and additional growth at the Fontaine Research Park, which would enter the research park at the Ray C. Hunt intersection leading to additional concerns with the additional traffic navigating through the Ray C. Hunt intersection.

Figure 1

Figure 2
Prior to moving forward with the project as scoped, VDOT worked with a consultant team to evaluate some additional alternatives based on the following factors:

- The cost of any of the alternatives was to remain within the funding amount awarded through SMART SCALE Round 4.
- If the scope of a project awarded through SMART SCALE changes, the project must be re-scored based on the criteria it was originally scored with to ensure that it meets the benefit requirements to receive funding through its awarded round. The Fontaine Avenue project received most of its score from the land use benefits which is primarily due to the inclusion of the shared use path component. As long as the shared use path is included, the benefit score of the rescoped project would be high enough for scope adjustments to be made.

### Round 4 SMART SCALE Score:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor Value</th>
<th>Congestion Mitigation</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Accessibility</th>
<th>Economic Development</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Factor Value</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Benefit</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMART SCALE COST</td>
<td>$12,374,620</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMART SCALE Score</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Alternatives**

The following alternatives to the displaced left turn were reviewed, but ultimately ruled out from further consideration:

- Roundabouts – cost and operations
- Diverging Diamond Interchange – cost
- Continuous Green-T Intersection – cost and operations

The remaining alternatives for the interchange include:

- Displaced Left Turn (existing concept)
- Conventional Intersections

There are three conventional intersection designs that are currently under consideration by VDOT. Each of those include signalizing the ramps between 29 and Fontaine Avenue with some additional lane reconfigurations. Those concepts are included as Attachment A and will be reviewed in more detail during the meetings. The primary benefits of these alternatives is to improve traffic operations along Fontaine Avenue itself. However, it is important to note that none of the new alternatives address the left turn movement from 29 northbound to I-64 westbound, which was a stated primary objective for pursuing this project.
Options
Several options remain for how to move the project forward, to include:

1. Move forward with the existing project as scoped.
2. Pull the project (and therefore decline awarded funding) and reapply for the full diverging diamond interchange improvement or another preferred alternative for the project.
3. Pursue one of the conventional intersection configurations proposed by VDOT and their consultant team (therefore, not addressing the left-turn movement at Route 29).

Factors for consideration
- There is no alternative to address the left turn movement from 29 northbound to I-64 westbound currently developed. It is possible that this could be identified in a future study, but any information regarding potential designs or costs are unknown at this time.
- It is difficult to assess whether any solutions to address the left turn movement by itself would be competitive for funding through SMART SCALE. Based on the existing information available, it would not appear to garnish sufficient SMART SCALE benefit points.
- The primary SMART SCALE benefit for the project came from the land use scoring factor, which resulted from the shared use path along Fontaine Avenue. The Commonwealth Transportation Board’s decision to convert the land use scoring factor to a multiplier could have significant impacts for the competitiveness of this project if submitted in future funding rounds. It is possible that recently adopted changes to the Economic Development measurements could provide some additional project benefits through SMART SCALE, but it is difficult to assess whether this is likely until there is data on this scoring process through the first round using the new methodology. Pulling the project and reapplying runs the risk that the project will not receive any funding in future rounds.

Actions: Staff is sharing this information for review and discussion purposes. Action on the desired direction will be requested at the MPO Policy Board meeting in February.
Fontaine Ave & US 29 Interchange
Current Design

Removal of left turns from NB US 29 to WB I-64 - trips would be routed through Fontaine Interchange to SB US 29 to WB I-64
Issues/Challenges with current Design

- Left-turns volumes are extremely high
- Available width under US 29 bridge
- U-turns (Loon) is not desirable
- Desire to maintain all movements
- Additional trips to/from Ray Hunt Dr due to development
- Stakeholders desire to maintain existing left turn from NB US 29 to WB I-64 to limit additional traffic at Fontaine Avenue
Alternatives Development

Interchange

Engineering and lane alignments
Operational analyses and testing

Ray Hunt Dr

Engineering and lane alignments
Operational analyses and testing

*Maintain similar cost of Smart Scale Project and keep shared use path*
Alternatives Development
Considered Alternatives

- Roundabouts  Cost, Operations
- Diverging Diamond Interchange (DDI)  Cost
- Displaced Left Turn (DLT)  Currently under consideration
- Continuous Green-T (CGT)  Cost, Operations
- Conventional Intersections  Currently under consideration

*The list above includes previously considered alternatives*
Alternatives Development
AM Critical Movements
Alternatives Development
PM Critical Movements
Alternatives Development
Under Bridge

LEGEND:
- 10' Shared-Use Path
- 11' Travel Lanes
- Barrier and Pavement Markings
- 4' Median
Alternatives Development
Base Concept

- Dual lefts
- Maintain all movements
- Two free-flow right turns
  - One directly to Ray Hunt
- Two WB thru-lanes
- Shared Use Path to the south of vehicular travel lanes

Fontaine Ave & US 29 Interchange Stakeholder Meeting
Alternatives Development
Base Concept

- Free-flow right turn from 29N ramp
- Single EB thru lane
- Existing WB lane configuration (only one receiving lane now)
Alternatives Development
Base Concept – 2045 Prelim AM Queues

(SimTraffic max queue) of (Available storage)

Fontaine Ave & US 29 Interchange Stakeholder Meeting
Alternatives Development
Base Concept – 2045 Prelim PM Queues

(SimTraffic max queue) of (Available storage)
Alternatives Development
Upgrades to Base Concept

- Adding a second SB left-turn lane flushes queue
- WB is free-flow
- Allows for two EB thru lanes
- Relocate low-volume left turns
- Single free-flow right turn to Ray Hunt Dr
Alternatives Development
Upgrades to Base Concept

U-turn with loon required
Alternatives Development
Upgraded Concept – 2045 AM Queues

(SimTraffic max queue) of (Available storage)
Alternatives Development
Upgraded Concept – 2045 PM Queues

(SimTraffic max queue) of (Available storage)
Alternatives Development
Ultimate Concept

Same as Upgraded concept in interchange area

Shared Use Path to the south of vehicular travel lanes
Alternatives Development
Ultimate Concept

- EB Merge after intersection (improves AM)
- Widen Fontaine east of intersection (improves AM and PM)
Alternatives Development
Max Queue Comparison – 2045 AM

*Queue spills back to 29S
**No WB Queue because movement is free-flow in this configuration
*No WB Queue because this movement is free-flow in this configuration

NOTE: in all concepts, max queues EB on Fontaine Ave block the upstream intersection; however, the true back of these queues are reflected in max queues on the 29S off-ramp and Fontaine Ave Extended
Alternatives Development
Max Queue Comparison – 2045 PM

*In all concepts, WB queue exceeds ½ mile
*WB Queue spills back to upstream intersection
**No WB Queue because movement is free-flow in this configuration
*WB Max Queue spills back to upstream intersection
*No WB Queue because this movement is free-flow in this configuration

NOTE: in all concepts, max queues WB east of the project area
Alternatives Development
Other Considerations

UVA development Build-out year and Project Phasing

Smart Scale Fontaine Avenue Streetscape improvements (within City)

Potential Fontaine Widening west of City
Attachment B

Fontaine Interchange Improvement SMART SCALE Application Timeline

7/1/2020

At this time, the standing concept was still the DDI. See email from CAMPO to Albemarle County staff with application information or their review.

7/13/2020

CAMPO staff was forwarded the concept sketch for the displaced left turn.

7/20/2020

CAMPO and Albemarle County staff met with VDOT to discuss the DLT concept.

7/22/2020

DLT concept was reviewed at the CAMPO Policy Board Meeting.

From the meeting minutes:

Mr. Boyles said the changes were made up to just a few hours prior to this meeting. He said he would like to have community engagement, perhaps virtually, sometime in August and then come back to the Board for a final resolution for that one project.

Ms. Mallek asked why there were changes to the project.

Mr. Lynch explained there was an effort to reduce the cost while salvaging the benefits of the project to give it more of a chance for funding. The movements through the intersection will be different. He noted that it would be worth waiting to see if it is feasible with the public’s input and support.

7/27/2020

Email sent from CAMPO to the Policy Board letting them know that we scheduled a webinar to review the proposed changes to the DDI concept. The webinar was scheduled for August 3, 2020.

7/31/2020

Email sent showing difference in costs between the DDI concept and the Displaced Left Turn concept.

8/3/2020

Email from the City to Albemarle, CAMPO, and VDOT staff sent expressing concerns.

The City wishes to highlight its concern about the mix and volume of users being expected to use this unique, innovative concept.
This intersection serves local vehicle traffic that will become used to the design as well as occasional visitors who will probably not become accustomed to anticipating the correct lane/required movement - to the hospital/outpatient services/football games/the University/etc.

Pedestrians use the RTF trailhead directly off Fontaine as well commute along the roadway or take neighborhood walks – something rarely encountered soon after leaving the Interstate/Bypass/Limited Access facilities. The City is also making Fontaine more walkable and multimodal in its section through a current Streetscape project.

Now, with this design, we are anticipating adding Interstate movements to the existing ramps to eliminate safety concerns on 64 – which include a high volume of truck traffic.

Both the County and the University are also proposing additional developments within the area which will also increase the volume – so an improvement to these intersections are needed.

We note that a shared use path, concrete barriers, signals and signage are proposed to aid in separating movements/modes and communicating movements.

**We would propose enhanced pedestrian lighting, curb extensions/pedestrian refuges to aid in crossings, consideration of bicyclists and landscaping/green space/buffers be included when identifying the project limits – all elements used in the Fontaine Streetscape. Extending this project’s limits slightly to the City/County line would create a connection to the streetscape project, eliminating a “gap” between the 2 projects, while providing benefits both to the community and enhancing the application’s score within SmartScale with low cost implications.** 60% design plans for the Streetscape project can be found here:

https://rkkllp-my.sharepoint.com/:b:/g/personal/asamberg_rkk_com/EWRdq0dzbGqYXPWW74v1gBEG35ZeE3GYxvOfT_xZBSrQ?e=y54c7c

Softer details designed at a human scale will help promote safer multimodal movements while giving cues to the motorists that they have left the interstate and to slow down as they are entering a City and neighborhood.

**8/19/2020**

Albemarle discussed the alternative concept at their Board meeting (after submission deadline)

**9/23/2020**

CAMPO Policy Board approved the resolution of support for the Fontaine Displace Left Turn

From the meeting minutes:
Mr. Proctor explained the updated concept sketch and changes to the County’s Fontaine Avenue’s Smart Scale application to the Policy Board and meeting participants.

Mr. Boyles said there was a question that came up at the CTB meeting last week. He said it is important to be clear in identifying the objective with a project like this. This one addresses congestion, safety, and west-bound turn movement onto I-64. He asked what the one overall benefit of the project was.

Mr. Proctor said the safety aspect is highest benefit.

Ms. Monteith said UVA had a team of people who took a look at the project approximately a month and a half ago and then gave it to the public for their comments. She read the comments from the public. She finished the comments by saying that the group felt the project needed a lot more scrutiny before moving forward.

Mr. Gallaway moved for the approval of the Smart Scale application for the Fontaine Avenue project as presented. Mr. Payne seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Gallaway noted that the Albemarle County Board of Supervisors preferred the previous design.
Memorandum

To: MPO Policy Board
From: Sandy Shackelford, Director of Planning and Transportation
Date: January 9, 2024
Reference: Amendments to the FY24-27 Transportation Improvement Program

Purpose: There are several amendments that need to be considered for action by the MPO Policy Board related to changes in transit funding allocations. Notably, all allocations for funding received by Jaunt are being removed from the document. Jaunt is not a direct recipient of federal transit funding, so their funding is documented by the state. Inclusion of Jaunt’s funding in our document has been in error.

Other amendments are requested for Charlottesville Area Transit (CAT) as indicated in the blocks below:

Amendment #2:

New Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT0003</td>
<td>TIP ID: CAT0003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title: Replacement - Rolling Stock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recipient:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charlottesville Area Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>1,144</td>
<td>FTA 5339 3,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>2,180</td>
<td>3,016</td>
<td>1,255</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2,779</td>
<td>State 7,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>Local 462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>3,206</td>
<td>4,435</td>
<td>1,846</td>
<td>1,176</td>
<td>4,087</td>
<td>Year Total 11,544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #2: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved amendments to the FY2024 amounts based on actual funding allocations for FY2024. Based on planned replacement schedule, funding will be reduced in FY2026.

Old Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT0003</td>
<td>TIP ID: CAT0003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title: Replacement - Rolling Stock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recipient:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charlottesville Area Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5339 2,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>2,180</td>
<td>2,571</td>
<td>1,256</td>
<td>1,401</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State 5,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local 307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>3,206</td>
<td>3,781</td>
<td>1,846</td>
<td>2,060</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Year Total 7,687</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description:
Amendment #3:

New Table (new project, no old table):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT0021</td>
<td>CAT0021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>9,773</td>
<td>6,302</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>16,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,526</td>
<td>10,435</td>
<td>6,850</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>18,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>1,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,244</td>
<td>21,075</td>
<td>13,700</td>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>37,019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #3: This funding will go towards two capital projects. The first is improvements to the Operations Annex to include two additional bays, bays for alternative fuel vehicles and other facility improvements. The second improvement is for the Administrative Offices to include renovations and the addition of training facilities. NEPA will be completed in FY2024 for both projects, and improvements will start in FY2026 once plans are reviewed and approved.

Amendment #4:

New Table (new project, no old table):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TJPDC1</td>
<td>TJPDC1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5310</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5310 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Year Total 45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #4: This is a new project being added to the TIP based on funding allocations for the TJPDC to launch and operate a ride referral service for seniors and individuals with disabilities.
Amendments #5-11:

Old tables (no new tables):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>JNT0001</th>
<th>Previous Funding FY2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY 2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIP ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>3,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>2,455</td>
<td>2,641</td>
<td>2,667</td>
<td>2,694</td>
<td>2,721</td>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>10,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307 ARPA</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility Mgr (Fed)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>2,552</td>
<td>1,162</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>4,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>4,632</td>
<td>6,276</td>
<td>6,527</td>
<td>6,788</td>
<td>7,060</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>26,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>1,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year Total:</strong></td>
<td>11,854</td>
<td>11,427</td>
<td>11,627</td>
<td>11,948</td>
<td>12,280</td>
<td><strong>Total Funds:</strong></td>
<td>47,281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #5: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>JNT0002</th>
<th>Previous Funding FY2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY 2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIP ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>1,576</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>3,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>1,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>1,154</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>2,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year Total:</strong></td>
<td>3,250</td>
<td>1,971</td>
<td>1,885</td>
<td>1,904</td>
<td>1,980</td>
<td><strong>Total Funds:</strong></td>
<td>7,740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #6: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>JNT0006</th>
<th>Previous Funding FY2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY 2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIP ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year Total:</strong></td>
<td>287</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>78</td>
<td><strong>Total Funds:</strong></td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #7: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.
TIP ID: JNT0009  Title: ADP Software  Recipient: JAUNT, Inc.  
FTA 5311 -  320  455  27  11  FTA 5311  813  
Flexible STP -  -  -  -  Flexible STP -  
State -  106  150  9  4  State  268  
Local -  234  333  20  8  Local  595  
Year Total: -  660  938  55  23  Total Funds:  1,676  
Description: Amendment #8: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.

TIP ID: JNT0012  Title: Rehab Renovation Facility  Recipient: JAUNT, Inc.  
FTA 5311 -  59  59  60  12  FTA 5311  189  
Flexible STP -  -  -  -  Flexible STP -  
State -  19  20  20  4  State  62  
Local -  43  43  44  9  Local  138  
Year Total: -  121  122  123  24  Total Funds:  390  
Description: Various projects to improve the facility  
 Amendment #9: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.

TIP ID: JNT0013  Title: Spare Parts/ACM Items  Recipient: JAUNT, Inc.  
FTA 5311 -  14  31  32  33  FTA 5311  110  
Flexible STP -  -  -  -  Flexible STP -  
State -  4  10  11  11  State  36  
Local -  10  22  23  24  Local  80  
Year Total: -  28  63  66  69  Total Funds:  226  
Description: Amendment #10: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.

TIP ID: JNT0015  Title: Support Vehicles  Recipient: JAUNT, Inc.  
FTA 5311 -  15  41  44  -  FTA 5311  99  
Flexible STP -  -  -  -  Flexible STP -  
State -  5  14  14  -  State  33  
Local -  11  30  32  -  Local  73  
Year Total: -  30  85  90  Total Funds  205  
Description: Amendment #11: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.
Action Item: MPO staff recommends a motion to approve the FY24-27 TIP amendments as presented.

Once these TIP amendments are reviewed and approved by the MPO Policy Board, the FY24-27 TIP document will be updated with the modified tables.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the MPO Policy Board on January 24, 2024, approved the amendments proposed above.

Signature:

_________________________________________               _________________________________________  
Chair                   Executive Director  
Charlottesville-Albemarle                Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission/  
Metropolitan Planning Organization               Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization  

WITNESS BY ________________________________

If there are any questions, please contact Sandy Shackelford at sshackelford@tjpdc.org.
Memorandum

To: MPO Policy Board
From: Sandy Shackelford, Director of Planning and Transportation
Date: January 9, 2024
Reference: Adjustments to the FY24-27 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Purpose: The information contained here show administrative adjustments to the TIP. These adjustments do not require action by the Policy Board, but are being shared for informational purposes.

Adjustment #1:

New Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID</th>
<th>CAT0001</th>
<th>Title: Operating Assistance</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>Charlottesville Area Transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>2,867</td>
<td>4,463</td>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>16,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307 - Jaunt</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307 - ARP</td>
<td>1,586</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>3,231</td>
<td>3,106</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>11,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>3,514</td>
<td>4,125</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>21,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>12,262</td>
<td>11,804</td>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>50,191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Adjustment #1: This block was modified to include the 5307 funding that CAT passes through to Jaunt as the sub-recipient operating CAT’s paratransit services within the urbanized area.

Old Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID</th>
<th>CAT0001</th>
<th>Title: Operating Assistance</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>Charlottesville Area Transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>4,453</td>
<td>4,463</td>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>16,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>3,231</td>
<td>3,106</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>11,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>3,514</td>
<td>4,125</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>21,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>11,305</td>
<td>11,804</td>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>50,191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description:
Adjustment #2:

New Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5339 2,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>1,632</td>
<td>2,389</td>
<td>1,942</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State 5,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>1,855</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>3,513</td>
<td>2,856</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Year Total 8,769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Adjustment #2: This block was modified to update the FY2024 amounts based on actual funding allocations and increase the amounts anticipated in FY26 based on agency funding requests.

Old Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5339 2,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>1,508</td>
<td>2,389</td>
<td>1,401</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State 5,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local 311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>1,855</td>
<td>2,218</td>
<td>3,513</td>
<td>2,060</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Year Total 7,791</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description:

Adjustment #3:

New Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5339 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Year Total 180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Adjustment #3: This block was modified to update the FY2024 amounts based on actual funding allocations.
### Old Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>Charlottesville Area Transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description:** Action Item: No action is needed. These TIP adjustments will be incorporated into the FY24-27 TIP and posted on the TJPDC website.

If there are any questions, please contact Sandy Shackelford at sshackelford@tjpdc.org.
Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
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## Amendments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment #</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. CAT0003 Replacement – Rolling Stock. Updated 2024 funding amounts based on actual allocations, reduced FY2026 funding amount based on planned replacement schedule, and added projected funding amounts for FY2027.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. CAT0021 Capital Facilities Renovations. New project added to TIP for capital improvements at the operations annex and for the administrative offices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>TJPDC. TJPDC1 Mobility Management. New project added to TIP for the development and launch of a regional ride referral service for seniors and individuals with disabilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. JNT0001 Operating Assistance. Removing all Jaunt projects from the TIP since they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. JNT0002 Replacement Rolling Stock. Removing all Jaunt projects from the TIP since they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. JNT0006 ADP Hardware. Removing all Jaunt projects from the TIP since they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. JNT0009 ADP Software. Removing all Jaunt projects from the TIP since they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. JNT0012 Rehab Renovation Facility. Removing all Jaunt projects from the TIP since they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. JNT0013 Spare Parts/ACM Items. Removing all Jaunt projects from the TIP since they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. JNT0015 Support Vehicles. Removing all Jaunt projects from the TIP since they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjustment #</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. CAT0001 Operating Assistance. Block was modified to include the 5307 funding that CAT passes through to Jaunt as the sub-recipient operating CAT’s paratransit services within the urbanized area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. CAT0002 Expansion – Rolling Stock. This block was modified to update the FY2024 amounts based on actual funding allocations and increase the amounts anticipated in FY26 based on agency funding requests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>January 2024</td>
<td>Transit. CAT0009 Purchase Support Vehicles. This block was modified to update the FY2024 amounts based on actual funding allocations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Purpose of this Document
The Charlottesville-Albemarle Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a document used to schedule spending of federal transportation funds within the metropolitan region in coordination with significant state and local funds for the federal fiscal years 2024 through 2027. It also demonstrates how these projects comply with federal planning regulations. The TIP is a product of the Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) in compliance with federal requirements.

Introduction to the Charlottesville/Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)
The Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization is the forum for continued, cooperative and comprehensive transportation decision-making among Charlottesville, Albemarle, state, and federal officials. Federal law (23 CFR Part 450) requires urbanized areas in the United States with populations greater than 50,000 persons to establish an MPO to coordinate transportation planning. The boundary of the Charlottesville-Albemarle MPO includes the City of Charlottesville and the adjacent urbanized areas of Albemarle County (the rural areas of Albemarle County are outside the MPO boundary, as is illustrated on the map below).
The MPO considers long-range regional projects and combines public input, technical data, and agency collaboration to develop forward-thinking solutions to transportation related challenges.

The MPO is responsible for carrying out a continuous, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process. This process includes reviewing transportation projects and preparing studies and plans.

The Charlottesville-Albemarle MPO is governed by the MPO Policy Board and staffed by the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission (TJPDC). MPO Policy Board membership consists of 13 representatives from the following organizations:

**Voting Member Organizations (5)**
- 2 Albemarle County Board of Supervisors
- 2 Charlottesville City Council
- 1 Va. Dept. of Transportation (VDOT)

Charlottesville Area Transit (CAT)
Citizens Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)

**Nonvoting Member Organizations (8)**
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- Jaunt
- Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission (TJPDC)
- UVA Office of the Architect
- Va. Dept. of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT)

Two committees support the MPO Policy Board: The MPO Technical Committee and a Citizens Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC).

The MPO Technical Committee includes representatives from the following organizations:

- City of Charlottesville
- Albemarle County
- University of Virginia
- Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT)
- Department of Rail and Public Transportation (VRPT)
- Charlottesville Area Transit
- Jaunt
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA)
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

The Citizens Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC) includes 13 citizen representatives. Albemarle County and the City of Charlottesville each appoint five members, and the MPO Policy Board appoints three members.

**Introduction to Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

**What is a TIP?**

The Charlottesville-Albemarle MPO Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a prioritized listing/program of transportation projects covering a period of four years that is developed and
formally adopted by an MPO as part of the metropolitan transportation planning process, consistent with the metropolitan transportation plan, and required for projects to be eligible for funding under title 23 U.S.C. and title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53. It represents projects from the most recently adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, the 2045 LRTP. The fiscal year for the FY2024-2027 TIP begins on October 1, 2022 and is applicable until September 30, 2026. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is a primary sponsor for many of the highway projects listed in the TIP.

Activities listed for Charlottesville Area Transit (CAT) and Jaunt are projects and programs expected to obligate federal funds over the coming four-year period. The primary sponsor of funding for these activities is the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

The Purpose of the TIP
The TIP:
- Prioritizes transportation projects expected to be implemented during a four-year period, and describes the schedule for obligating federal funds.
- Contains a financial plan for all modes of transportation including roadways and transit capital and operating costs.
- Serves as a tool for monitoring progress in implementing the MPO’s long range transportation plan.
- Is incorporated into the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), for its submission to FHWA, FTA, and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for approval.
- Includes state and locally funded regionally significant transportation projects to provide a comprehensive view of transportation projects in the Charlottesville-Albemarle area.
- Includes regionally significant unfunded, visioning transportation projects that are significant to the region’s transportation network improvement strategies.

Selecting Projects for the TIP
The FHWA tracks all federally funded projects in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), which incorporates each MPO TIP by reference, in total and without change. The STIP includes all transportation projects in the state of Virginia that are scheduled to receive federal funding over a four-year interval and must first be included in the Six Year Improvement Program (SYIP), developed by VDOT, in cooperation with local governments.

The SYIP is updated biennially and includes a listing of projects, their descriptions, funding sources, and cost estimates. The Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) approves the SYIP for the upcoming six-year period by June 30 of every other year.

All projects which appear in the SYIP and require federal approval are included in the TIP; state and locally funded projects are not included in the TIP, unless deemed regionally significant. The schedule and cost estimate for each phase of a project, as well as phase allocation and obligation information per project, can be found in the currently adopted VDOT SYIP, and is available at [http://www.virginiadot.org/projects/syp-default.asp](http://www.virginiadot.org/projects/syp-default.asp). Some projects in the TIP are not shown as individual projects. Rather, they are grouped together and shown as a single line item in the TIP. This single line-item represents a grouping of projects with similar funding categories, and displays a cumulative sum of obligations rather than obligations per project.
Transportation Goals and Priorities
The Charlottesville-Albemarle MPO has long-standing transportation goals and priorities that are defined in the regional long-range transportation plan. As required under federal regulations, the long range transportation plan is a listing of the most important projects for the MPO area over the next 20 years. Due to budget constraints, the 2045 LRTP focuses on a practical set of improvements that maximizes the effectiveness of existing transportation investments.

Primary Goal and Major Factors
The overarching regional transportation system goal is to create a balanced, multimodal transportation network, by 1) improving connections throughout the region; 2) improving mobility within neighborhoods, towns, and counties; and 3) making transportation choices that help foster livable communities. Several major objectives have been identified to help the MPO achieve these goals:

- Completion of a well-connected multi-modal networks with better connections within and between neighborhoods.
- Re-engineered intersection and corridor design to improve operational efficiency and safety.
- Fast, frequent, dependable transit service with seamless connections throughout the region.
- Well-executed design details for pedestrian-friendly streets, bike lanes and trails, transit stops, safer intersections, and pedestrian crossings.

All of these elements will also help complete the transit “customer delivery system” needed for efficient, cost-effective transit operations. By building new critical facilities and re-engineering existing roadways, overall system operations and safety will be improved.

The regional dynamics of interconnected roadway networks; coordinated transit systems such as Jaunt, CAT, UTS, and Park and Ride lots; varied commuting patterns; and regional destinations for shopping and recreation point to the need for a coordinated, multi-modal regional transportation plan. This plan must be effectively implemented if the region is to continue to flourish and grow in keeping with the quality of life we currently enjoy. Because the majority of local roadway construction is actually funded privately by developers building new subdivision streets, significant progress can be made through better planning and project coordination. By encouraging more interconnections between new developments, coupled with lower-speed and safer roadway design, a major portion of the roadway network can be completed with private funds. With careful planning, public funding can be maximized by “connecting the dots” between developments.

Specific Emphasis
A better-connected muti-modal network will help relieve traffic congestion along heavily used corridors, and reduce congestion at major bottlenecks and intersections. These systems will also provide for many safety improvements to the overall transportation network, allowing
people to access nearby destinations on smaller-scale, pedestrian-, bike-, and transit-friendly roadways.

While a major focus is expedited project implementation, several new roadways and improvement projects are completed or underway to provide better multi-modal connections and through movements. Some roadways require minor and/or spot improvements, widening, realignments, widened shoulders, or expanded lanes. These projects will improve safety and capacity.

To provide residents and businesses with safe, efficient and truly usable transportation options, the MPO Long Range Plan includes significant emphasis on bike, pedestrian and transit projects. Strategies include a focus on improvements around existing villages, coupled with better connections between neighborhoods, schools, and town centers. Other improvements for pedestrian safety can be made that do not require capital funding and include enhanced enforcement of safety laws.

Getting Involved in the MPO and the TIP Development

MPO Area Meetings
All meetings for the MPO Policy Board and the two other MPO committees are open to the public. Time is reserved at the start and finish of each meeting for comment from members of the public. All meetings are held at the TJPDC Office’s Water Street Center, 407 E. Water St., Charlottesville, VA 22902. For more information about the MPO and its committees, please visit http://campo.tjpdc.org/.

TIP Development
The MPO encourages public involvement in the TIP process. Time is also allotted for public comment concerning the SYIP at the Spring public hearings and the Fall public meetings. For more information about MPO Public Hearings, please visit http://campo.tjpdc.org/committees/.

For more information about the CTB, please visit http://www.ctb.virginia.gov/For more information about the Six Year Improvement Program (SYIP), please visit https://www.virginiadot.org/projects/syip/virginia's_transportation_funding.asp.

Performance Based Planning and Programming
Performance Based Planning and Programming requirements for transportation planning are laid out in the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st century (MAP-21), enacted in 2012 and reinforced in the 2015 FAST Act, which calls for states and MPOs to adopt performance measures. Each MPO adopts a set of performance measures, in coordination with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transit (DRPT), and these measures are used to help in the prioritization of TIP and Long-Range Transportation Plan projects.

Rollout of performance measures is ongoing. The MPO has been coordinating with VDOT and DRPT to adopt performance measures and targets as they become available. Once the initial
performance measures and targets are adopted, the MPO will continue to monitor and report progress at required intervals set forth in State and Federal guidance. To date the MPO has formally adopted the following adjusted performance measures and targets.

VDOT Adopted Measures

1. Safety Performance Measures
In accordance with the requirements of MAP-21 and the FAST Act, Virginia has established safety performance objectives as published in Virginia’s 2017 - 2021 Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) and, starting in 2017, annual targets in the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Annual Report. The SHSP performance measure objectives are indicated in Table 1 below. In Fiscal Year 2021, the performance measures were updated by CA-MPO to match the state’s performance measures as illustrated in Table 2.

There are five measures that make up the safety category. These measures include the number of fatalities, fatality rate, the number of serious injuries, serious injury rate, and the number of crashes involving bike/ped. The MPO has adopted the state-wide Safety Targets for the five measures. For safety performance measures 1, 2, and 3, annual targets were developed collaboratively by the MPO, Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) Highway Safety Office (HSO) and VDOT HSIP staff. The DMV HSO also includes these measures in their Highway Safety Plan submitted to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) every June.

The Commonwealth Transportation Board approves all five annual targets and VDOT includes these in the HSIP Annual Report submitted to FHWA every August. Within 180 days of VDOT’s annual report submission to FHWA, The MPO has adopted the Statewide targets for 2022 and adopted regionally-specific targets in 2023 as shown in the tables listed below. The MPO will assess and update these targets annually.

Table 1: 2022 SHSP Safety Performance Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Five-year average annual reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Fatalities</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Fatalities per 100 Million Vehicle Miles Traveled</td>
<td>0.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Serious Injuries</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate Serious Injury Million Miles Vehicle Miles Traveled</td>
<td>13.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities &amp; Serious Injuries</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: 2023 SHSP Safety Performance Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Five-year average annual reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Fatalities</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Fatalities per 100 Million Vehicle Miles Traveled</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Serious Injuries</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate Serious Injury Million Miles Vehicle Miles Traveled</td>
<td>9.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities &amp; Serious Injuries</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Pavement and Bridge Condition (PM2)

There are three measures that make up the pavement and bridge condition category. These measures include; the percentage of pavement in good condition (interstate), percentage of pavement in poor condition (interstate), percentage of pavement in good condition (non-interstate National Highway System), percentage of pavement in poor condition (non-interstate National Highway System), percentage of deck area of bridges in good condition (National Highway System), and the percentage of deck area of bridges in poor condition (National Highway System).

The MPO has reviewed the state targets and the predicted trends for the MPO area and adopted the state targets for Fiscal Year 2023 (table 4).

Table 4: PM2 Targets for MPO and Virginia in 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>MPO 2021 Baseline</th>
<th>Adopted 4-Year Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Pavement in Good Condition</td>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>73.50%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Pavement in Poor Condition</td>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Pavement in Good Condition NHS (non Interstate)</td>
<td>28.70%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Pavement in Poor Condition NHS (non Interstate)</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Bridge Deck Area in Good Condition</td>
<td>NHS (All)</td>
<td>10.80%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Bridge Deck Area in Poor Condition</td>
<td>NHS (All)</td>
<td>7.80%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. System Performance (PM3)

There are three measures that apply to the MPO in the System Performance category. These measures include; the percentage of person-miles traveled that are reliable (Interstates), Percentage of person-miles traveled that are reliable (National Highway System non-interstates), and truck travel times reliability index (Interstates).

The MPO has reviewed the state targets and the predicted trends for the MPO area and adopted the state targets for Fiscal Year 2021 (table 5).
Table 5: Proposed PM3 Targets for MPO and Virginia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>MPO 2021 Baseline</th>
<th>Adopted 4-year Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Person-miles traveled that are reliable</td>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Person-miles traveled that are reliable</td>
<td>NHS (Non Interstate)</td>
<td>90.70%</td>
<td>88.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck travel time reliability index</td>
<td>NHS (All)</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CA-MPO Interstates and National Highway System Roadways
DRPT Adopted Measures

The Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) final rule (49 C.F.R. Part 673) intends to improve public transportation safety by guiding transit agencies to more effectively and proactively manage safety risks in their systems. It requires certain recipients and sub-recipients of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) grants that operate public transportation to develop and implement safety plans that, establish processes and procedures to support the implementation of Safety Management Systems (SMS). Agencies are required to fulfill this requirement through an individual or group plan. The PTASP rule provides two tiers of requirements for transit agencies based on size and operating characteristics:

- A Tier I agency operates rail, OR has 101 vehicles or more all fixed route modes, OR has 101 vehicles or more in one non-fixed route mode.
- A Tier II agency is a subrecipient of FTA 5311 funds, OR is an American Indian Tribe, OR has 100 or less vehicles across all fixed route modes, OR has 100 vehicles or less in one non-fixed route Tier II The Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) is the sponsor for the Statewide Tier II Group PTASP Plan.

The Charlottesville Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization (CA-MPO) programs federal transportation funds for Charlottesville Area Transit (CAT) and Jaunt. Charlottesville Area Transit and Jaunt are both Tier II agencies participating in the DRPT sponsored group PTASP Plan.

The CA-MPO has adopted the Tier II PTASP into its TIP by reference and integrated the goals measures and targets described in the 2022 Commonwealth of Virginia Tier II Group Transit Asset Management Plan, October 1, 2022 into the MPO’s planning and programming process. Specific targets for the Tier II Group PTASP Plan are displayed in the tables below. CAT contracts with Jaunt to provide paratransit service for its fixed routes. Table 6 contains CAT’s fixed route service and the paratransit numbers are for Jaunt’s paratransit service provided to CAT. Table 7 is for fixed route commuter service provided by Jaunt like the Buckingham route and the 29 express.
Table 6: Charlottesville Area Transit PTASP Performance Targets by Mode:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>Targets by Mode</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
<td>Paratransit/Demand Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities (total number of reportable fatalities per year)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities (rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries (total number of reportable injuries per year)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries (rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode)</td>
<td>Less than .5 injuries per 100,000 vehicle revenue miles</td>
<td>Less than .5 injuries per 100,000 vehicle revenue miles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety events (total number of safety events per year)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety events (rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode)</td>
<td>Less than 1 reportable event per 100,000 vehicle revenue miles</td>
<td>Less than 1 reportable event per 100,000 vehicle revenue miles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between Major Failures</td>
<td>10,000 miles</td>
<td>10,000 miles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between Minor Failures</td>
<td>3,200 miles</td>
<td>3,200 miles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Jaunt PTASP Performance Targets by Mode:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>Targets by Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities (total number of reportable fatalities per year)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities (rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries (total number of reportable injuries per year)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries (rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode)</td>
<td>Less than .5 injuries per 100,000 vehicle revenue miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety events (total number of safety events per year)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety events (rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode)</td>
<td>Less than 1 reportable event per 100,000 vehicle revenue miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between Major Failures</td>
<td>10,000 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between Minor Failures</td>
<td>3,200 miles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Performance Based Planning and Programming in the TIP and LRTP:

As Performance Based Planning and Programming requirements are rolled out and targets are set, projects in the TIP have been assessed to connect project scopes, as identified in the TIP, to goals in the MPO Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP 2045). The LRTP 2045 plan was developed with MAP-21 guidance and includes performance measures aligned with MAP-21. These goals are set out in Chapter 4 of the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan, and listed below.
LRTP 2045 Goals:

1. **ACCESSIBILITY & MOBILITY**- Improve inter and intra-regional access and mobility for all users (people, goods, and services) by integrating various modes of transportation in an effort to improve connectivity and coordination among stakeholders.

2. **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & LAND USE**- Support the region’s economic competitiveness by ensuring the integration of transportation and land use decisions in the planning process to enhance efficiency across all modes of transportation.

3. **OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE**- Encourage and promote the cost-effective operations and maintenance of the regional transportation network that delivers optimal performance for all users.

4. **SAFETY**- Improve the geometric conditions and physical characteristics of the transportation network to reduce fatalities and serious injuries.

5. **CONGESTION**- Where appropriate, improve roadway design to reduce congestion for vehicles, freight, and transit.

6. **ENVIRONMENT & COMMUNITY**- Promote sustainable transportation improvements that avoid impacts on the environment and ensure nondiscriminatory planning in our region.

**TIP linkage to adopted measures:**

New TIP and LRTP projects are reviewed for their linkages to safety needs using the following steps:

- Safety deficiencies are identified by analyzing crash data provided by VDOT as part of the Highway Safety Improvement Program.
- Proposed projects are reviewed for their impact on safety using crash modification factors based on project design.

**Resource Documents:**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hillsdale Drive</td>
<td><a href="http://www.hillsdaledrive.org/">http://www.hillsdaledrive.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TJPDC Transportation</td>
<td><a href="http://tjpdc.org/transportation-planning/">http://tjpdc.org/transportation-planning/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Environmental Review Reports</td>
<td>Copies are available in both the central Richmond Office and each District Office. They are sent to local residencies within 30 days of any public hearing about the project to which they relate. For additional information on Environmental Review for TIP projects, contact Rick Crofford (VDOT, Culpeper District Assistant Environmental Manager).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TIP User’s Guide: Understanding the TIP Format

Project information appears for each project that currently receives federal funding through the Six-Year Improvement Program. The information for each of these projects appears in the chart format shown below and is provided to the MPO by VDOT. Terms are listed consistently in the grey boxes, while project-specific details are listed in the white boxes to the right of, or below, each term. Definitions for the numbered terms appear in the corresponding Glossary of Terms table. Project information will appear in the TIP if funding is necessary for miscellaneous follow-up costs (e.g. utility relocation, miscellaneous bill payment, etc.). Projects must be removed from the Six Year Program in order to be removed from the TIP.

Glossary of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Universal Project Code (UPC) Number</td>
<td>Number assigned to each project at its conception, remaining with the project until completion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Scope</td>
<td>Includes notes about the work to be covered by the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 System</td>
<td>Indicates which system, program, or mode of transportation the project falls within. E.g. Interstate, Primary, Secondary, Urban, Rail, <strong>Transportation Enhancements</strong>, or Miscellaneous.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Jurisdiction</td>
<td>The jurisdiction (City of Charlottesville or Albemarle County) in which the project will occur.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5 Federal Oversight Indicator (FO or NFO) | **FO**: Indicates Federal Oversight in the project construction, contracting, and management.  
**NFO**: Indicates No Federal Oversight in the construction, contracting, and management issues, and does not affect the standard environmental review process for transportation projects. All federally funded transportation projects must include the required environmental documents regardless of whether there is federal oversight required. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Project/Project Phase</td>
<td>Name of the Project and Phase (i.e. PE: Preliminary Engineering - Preliminary field survey, utility location, environmental or historical studies, design drawings, final field inspections and public hearings will be done. This process can take several months to years to complete; RW: Right of Way - Negotiations with property owners take place, payments are made, and arrangements with utility companies are finalized to obtain the land necessary for the project; or CN: Construction - Project is advertised to prospective contractors for bids. Once the bids are opened and a contract awarded, construction can begin.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Admin By</td>
<td>Entity responsible for the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Description</td>
<td>Limits of the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Route/Street</td>
<td>Local street name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Total Cost</td>
<td>The total estimated cost (TO) reflecting the best overall estimate available at the time. Estimated costs begin as rough estimates, usually based on historical data, and are updated at critical stages (e.g. the final field inspection), as plans are more defined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Fund Source</td>
<td>FHWA funding sources are described below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APD Appalachian Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AP  Appalachian Local Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BH  Bridge Rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOND Bonds/Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BR  Bridge Replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMAQ Congestion Mitigation &amp; Air Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEMO Federal Demonstration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DT  Dulles Toll Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN  Enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FH  Forest Highway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRAN Federal Reimbursement Anticipation Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FTA Federal Transit Authority Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HES Hazard Elimination Safety (Sec. 152)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPD TEA-21 Priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I Interstate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM Interstate Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHS National Highway System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OC  Open Container</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OT  Off the Top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RO  Repeat Offender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPT Richmond-Petersburg Turnpike Tolls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RS  Rail Safety (100% Federal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RSTP Regional Surface Transportation Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STP Surface Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TFRA Toll Facilities Revolving Door</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Match</td>
<td>Dollar amount matched to federally funded project. Most federal fund sources require a match of some sort; most often 20% of the total cost. The match is included in the obligations section for informational purposes. The match can come from local, state or other sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Current and Future Obligations</td>
<td>The amount of funding which is obligated for the indicated phase of work. An obligation represents a commitment from the Federal government to reimburse the state for the Federal share (e.g. 80%) of a project’s eligible cost. This commitment occurs when the project is approved and the Federal government executes the project agreement. The funding obligation listed is the dollar amount that a state may spend and expect reimbursement for during each Federal fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Project Information** Each ungrouped project summary includes additional detail provided by the MPO, the City of Charlottesville, and Albemarle County. This information
appears in a small chart beneath the project’s cost estimates and obligations, and includes
detail describing the project’s location, purpose, MPO endorsement status, and environmental
review information, including:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)</th>
<th>An Environmental Impact Statement is prepared for projects which are expected to have a significant impact on the environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Categorical Exclusions (CE)</td>
<td>Categorical Exclusions apply to projects which will not individually or cumulatively cause a significant environmental impact. Most CEs require minimal administrative review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Categorical Exclusions (PCE)</td>
<td>Program Categorical Exclusions are pre-determined actions which do not require administration review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Assessment (EA)</td>
<td>An Environmental Assessment is prepared for actions in which the significance of the environmental impact is not clear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Available (NA)</td>
<td>Not available or not undertaken is when an any of the above have not yet been completed or are not needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIP Financial Information

SYIP Allocations vs. TIP Obligations
The SYIP is an allocation document similar to a capital outlay plan. Allocations are funds that are available in current and previous years (i.e., “the budget”) and those forecasted for future years over the period covered in the SYIP. For example, the FY 2015-2020 SYIP became effective on July 1, 2014; at that time, FY 2015 allocations were combined with any remaining previous allocations that were on each project and together, all previous allocations represent the current budget on the project; funds for FY 2016 through FY 2020 are funds projected to be available in each of those years based on the most recent revenue forecast. Allocations come from several sources, including state, federal, and local funds and represent the amount of funding the Commonwealth has set aside to fund the cost of each project.

The TIP is an obligation document. Obligations are not allocations, but instead represent commitments by the federal government to reimburse the state for the federal share of a project’s eligible costs. Thus, states do not receive funding in advance of beginning a project or phase; instead, a project or phase is authorized in a federal agreement under which FHWA or FTA commits to reimburse the state for a share of eligible costs. Obligations are identified in the STIP/TIP by project and project phase (i.e., Preliminary Engineering (PE), Right of Way (RW), and Construction (CN)), and are forecasted across a three-year period.

To better understand the relationship between allocations and obligations, consider the allocation as the money in your checking account that you plan to spend; consider the obligations as the checks you plan to write to cover costs incurred. Like balancing a checkbook, a project’s obligations should be equal to or less than the amount of funding allocated to it, generally speaking. Since the TIP is an obligation document, it identifies the amount of funding anticipated to be reimbursed by the federal government, while the SYIP is an allocation
document that identifies the total amount of funding expected to be expended to deliver the specified projects and programs.

**TIP Financial Plan**
MAP-21’s planning regulation 23 CFR 450.324(h) specifies the inclusion of a financial plan in the TIP that shows how the projects or project phases identified can reasonably be expected to be implemented with the available public and private revenues identified. TIP projects and phases are required to be consistent with the long-range plan and must be fully funded in the TIP. To the extent that funding is available or is reasonably expected to be available, priority projects and phases have been selected for inclusion in this TIP. The MPO and its member organizations have cooperatively developed financial forecasts for the TIP based on the latest official planning assumptions and estimates of revenue(s) and cost(s). The financial information is given by funding category for the projects listed and expected to be implemented during the four-year period beginning in FY 2021.

Some projects listed in the TIP may show $0 for planned obligations. Possible reasons for this include:

- Project is complete and is awaiting financial closeout;
- Subsequent phases beyond four years;
- Information only, funding being pursued; or
- Project to be funded from [category] group funding.

In addition to construction projects, revenue projections have been made for maintaining and operating the region’s highway and transit systems during the same four-year period. Funded TIP actions typically include, but are not limited to:

- transportation studies;
- ground transportation system improvement projects (fixed-guide, highway, bicycle, pedestrian, commuter lots, etc);
- public transit systems and services, including the components of coordinated human service mobility plans;
- system maintenance (monitoring, repair and/or replacement of system facilities and support sites; snow removal; mowing; painting; rest area or weigh station sites; etc); and
- system operations (ITS-TSM applications; traffic operations such as signalization, signal coordination, ramp meters, or message signs; roadside assistance; incident management; for the urbanized TMAs, their Congestion Management Process activities; VDOT traffic management centers; bridge-tunnel management; toll road or congestion pricing management; etc).

**Funding Sources**
The following provides a general overview of funding programs utilized in the development of the TIP.

**Highway Funding Program:**

**BR/BROS Bridge Rehabilitation and Replacement** program provides funding for bridge improvements. Eligibility for funding is based on a rating of bridge condition by VDOT as a candidate for upgrading.
DEMO The federal transportation acts include demonstration, priority, pilot, or special interest projects in various Federal-aid highway and appropriations acts. These projects are generically referred to as "demonstration" or "demo" projects, because Congress initiated this practice of providing special funding for these projects to demonstrate some new or innovative construction, financing, or other techniques on specific projects.

EB/MG The Equity Bonus (formerly known as Minimum Guarantee) ensures that each State receives a specific share of the aggregate funding for major highway programs (Interstate Maintenance, National Highway System, Bridge, Surface Transportation Program, Highway Safety Improvement Program, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement, Metropolitan Planning, Appalachian Development Highway System, Recreational Trails, Safe Routes to School, Rail-Highway Grade Crossing, Coordinated Border Infrastructure programs, and Equity Bonus itself, along with High Priority Projects), with every State guaranteed at least a specified percentage of that State's share of contributions to the Highway Account of the Highway Trust Fund.

IM Interstate Maintenance (IM) program provides reconstruction, maintenance, and improvements to the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways. The Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) administers these programs.

NHS National Highway System (NHS) projects can be funded only if they are on the National Highway System, which is established by Congress.

RSTP Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) provides funding for a broad range of capacity, operational, and congestion mitigation related improvements. Projects include road widening, rehabilitation, transit capital, research, environmental enhancements, intelligent transportation systems, planning, and others.

SAFETEA-LU The Safe Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) is the federal transportation bill that provides federal transportation funding to each state. The SAFETEA-LU funding category refers to funding earmarks that Congress included in the legislation for specific projects. This funding can only be used for the project(s) for which it is earmarked.

STP Surface Transportation Program (STP) can be utilized on any project located on a roadway that is classified higher than a minor collector. Projects eligible for funding under this program include construction, reconstruction, and rehabilitation, and bridge projects on any public road. Local STP funds are designated as L-STP.

Non-Federal Any funding that does not come from federal sources is grouped into the non-federal funding category.

EN Transportation Enhancement funds have been made available for bicycle and pedestrian facilities through the Surface Transportation Program of the TEA-21. A 10% set aside from each state's allocation of STP funds must be used for Transportation Enhancement activities. Projects are available for funding on a statewide competition basis for enhancement grants. The Enhancement program includes a set aside for the Roadscapes Program, which provides funding for local jurisdictions to
apply for landscaping projects on state and federally maintained rights-of-way.

**SRS**  
*Safe Routes to School* is a competitive grant program to enable and encourage children to safely walk and bicycle to school. Funds can be used for infrastructure improvements and educational programs.

**Transit Funding Programs:**

**Section 5307**  
Federal Transit Administration formula grants for transit operating assistance in urbanized areas.

**Section 5311**  
Federal Transit Administration formula grants transit operating assistance outside urbanized areas.

**Section 5317**  
Federal Transit Administration funds for Job Access and Reverse Commute grants to provide low-income individuals job access transportation.

**Section 5309**  
Federal Transit Administration discretionary grant funding for capital assistance for major bus related construction or equipment projects.

**Section 5310**  
Federal Transit Administration funds for private and non-profit organizations providing mass transportation services for the elderly and disabled.

**Non-Federal**  
Any funding that does not come from federal sources is grouped into the non-federal funding category.

**Transit**  
Charlottesville Area Transit (CAT) uses the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) development process of the TJPDC Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) to satisfy the public hearing requirements of 49 U.S.C. Section 5307(c). The TIP public notice of public involvement activities and time established for public review and comment on the TIP satisfies the program-of-projects requirements of the Urbanized Area Formula Program.
Table C: CAMPO Federal Funding Categories Fiscal Constraint by Year (Hwy 2024-2027)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Source</th>
<th>FFY 2024</th>
<th>FFY 2025</th>
<th>FFY 2026</th>
<th>FFY 2027</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Projected Obligation Authority</td>
<td>Planned Obligation</td>
<td>Projected Obligation Authority</td>
<td>Planned Obligation</td>
<td>Projected Obligation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$901,970</td>
<td>$901,970</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEMO</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,368</td>
<td>$7,368</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>$299,403</td>
<td>$299,403</td>
<td>$3,613,900</td>
<td>$3,613,900</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPP/E</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$644,319</td>
<td>$644,319</td>
<td>$2,158,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS/NHPP</td>
<td>$3,655,109</td>
<td>$3,655,109</td>
<td>$14,212,498</td>
<td>$14,212,498</td>
<td>$849,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP/STBG</td>
<td>$1,985,902</td>
<td>$1,985,902</td>
<td>$8,882,013</td>
<td>$8,882,013</td>
<td>$4,109,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal -- Federal</td>
<td>$5,940,414</td>
<td>$5,940,414</td>
<td>$28,282,068</td>
<td>$28,282,068</td>
<td>$7,118,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Federal</td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$7,730,306</td>
<td>$7,730,306</td>
<td>$6,908,389</td>
<td>$6,908,389</td>
<td>$1,779,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal -- Other</td>
<td>$13,891,210</td>
<td>$13,891,210</td>
<td>$5,908,389</td>
<td>$5,908,389</td>
<td>$1,779,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$19,831,624</td>
<td>$19,831,624</td>
<td>$34,190,457</td>
<td>$2,568,661</td>
<td>$2,474,217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Federal - ACC (1) |          |          |          |          |       |
| HSIP          | $139,196 | $139,196 | $0       | $0       | $0     | $0     | $0     | $0     | $139,196 | $139,196 |
| NHPP/E        | $0       | $0       | $1,003,424 | $1,003,424 | $0     | $0     | $0     | $0     | $1,003,424 | $1,003,424 |
| NHS/NHPP     | $0       | $0       | $380,421 | $380,421 | $1,046,362 | $1,046,362 | $316,432 | $316,432 | $1,743,215 | $1,743,215 |
| STP/STBG     | $0       | $0       | $200,000 | $200,000 | $1,136,683 | $1,136,683 | $0     | $0     | $1,336,683 | $1,336,683 |
| TAP           | $67,074 | $67,074 | $0       | $0       | $0     | $0     | $0     | $0     | $67,074 | $67,074 |
| Subtotal -- Federal - ACC (1) | $206,270 | $206,270 | $580,421 | $580,421 | $3,186,469 | $3,186,469 | $316,432 | $316,432 | $4,289,592 | $4,289,592 |

| Statewide and/or Multiple MPO – Federal (3) |          |          |          |          |       |
| NHS/NHPP     | $1,031,697 | $1,031,697 | $0       | $0       | $0     | $0     | $0     | $0     | $1,031,697 | $1,031,697 |
| Subtotal -- Federal - ACC (3) | $1,031,697 | $1,031,697 | $0       | $0       | $0     | $0     | $0     | $0     | $1,031,697 | $1,031,697 |

| Maintenance - Federal (4) |          |          |          |          |       |
| NHPP          | $1,877,503 | $1,877,503 | $1,877,503 | $1,877,503 | $1,877,503 | $1,877,503 | $7,510,012 | $7,510,012 |
| NHS/NHPP     | $5,678,620 | $5,678,620 | $1,380,499 | $1,380,499 | $528,620 | $528,620 | $528,620 | $528,620 | $8,116,359 | $8,116,359 |
| STP/STBG     | $14,706,804 | $14,706,804 | $14,766,739 | $14,766,739 | $14,827,694 | $14,827,694 | $14,899,684 | $14,899,684 | $59,190,921 | $59,190,921 |
| Subtotal -- Maintenance - Federal (4) | $22,262,927 | $22,262,927 | $18,024,741 | $18,024,741 | $17,233,817 | $17,233,817 | $17,295,807 | $17,295,807 | $74,817,292 | $74,817,292 |

(1) ACC -- Advance Construction -- Funding included in Federal Category based on year of AC Conversion
(2) CMAQ/RSTP includes funds for TRANSIT projects
(3) Statewide and/or Multiple MPO - Federal - Funding to be obligated in Multiple MPO Regions and/or Statewide for projects as identified
(4) Maintenance Projects - Funding to be obligated for maintenance projects as identified
### Interstate Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UPC NO</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>OVERSIGHT</th>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROGRAM NOTE</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>MATCH</th>
<th>FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115869</td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>NFO</td>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>ADMIN BY VDOT</td>
<td>#ITTF20 STATEWIDE TECHNOLOGY FOR OPERATIONS</td>
<td>PE Plan Obligation $913,491</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MATCH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,086,509</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – AC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – NHS/NHPP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$913,491</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Previous $1,950,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Primary Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UPC NO</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>OVERSIGHT</th>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROGRAM NOTE</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>MATCH</th>
<th>FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77383</td>
<td>Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>NFO</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>RTE 29 – WIDENING &amp; CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td>FROM: Route 643 (Polo Grounds Road) TO: Route 1719 (Town Center Drive) (1.8300 MI)</td>
<td>Linked with UPC 106136 &amp; 106137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MATCH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>($304,685)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – NHS/NHPP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>($4,612,073)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – AC Other</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,758,749</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Part of the Route 29 Solutions Project. Complete waiting closeout.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UPC NO</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>OVERSIGHT</th>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROGRAM NOTE</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>MATCH</th>
<th>FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106136</td>
<td>Reconstruction w/Added Capacity</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>US-29 RIO ROAD GRADE SEPARATED INTERSECTION</td>
<td>FROM: ROUTE 851 (DOMINION DRIVE) TO: ROUTE 1417 (WOODBROOK DRIVE) (1.0000 MI)</td>
<td>LINKED WITH UPC 77383 &amp; 106137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MATCH</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>($5,901,475)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – AC OTHER</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,829,920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Part of the Route 29 Solutions Project. Complete waiting closeout.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Secondary Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UPC NO</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>OVERSIGHT</th>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROGRAM NOTE</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>MATCH</th>
<th>FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111779</td>
<td>Bridge Replacement without Added Capacity</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>NFO</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>ADMIN BY VDOT</td>
<td>Rte. 702 – Bridge Replacement Str. 6401</td>
<td>FROM: 0.04 MI. W. MOREY CREEK TO: 0.04 MI. E. MOREY CREEK (0.0800 MI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROUTE/STREET</td>
<td>TOTAL COST</td>
<td>MATCH FY24</td>
<td>FY25</td>
<td>FY26</td>
<td>FY27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fontaine Ave Ext (0702)</td>
<td>$3,499,960</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPO Notes: Part of the Route 29 Solutions Project. Complete waiting closeout.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UPC NO</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>OVERSIGHT</th>
<th>ROUTE/STREET</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
<th>MATCH FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106137</td>
<td>New Construction Roadway</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>NFO</td>
<td>Berkmar Drive Extended (1403)</td>
<td>$46,211,254</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPO Notes: Part of the Route 29 Solutions Project. Complete waiting closeout.

**Urban Projects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UPC NO</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>OVERSIGHT</th>
<th>ROUTE/STREET</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
<th>MATCH FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110381</td>
<td>Bridge Replacement w/o Added Capacity</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Charlottesville</td>
<td>NFO</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>$8,138,624</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>Federal – AC CONVERSION</th>
<th>MATCH FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – STP/STBP</td>
<td>$44,502</td>
<td>$178,006</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – STP/STBG</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>($249,678)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – NHS/NHPP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,280,739</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – STP/STBG</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,656,780</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CN TOTAL</td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$10,441,643</td>
<td>$2,656,780</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPO Notes: Smart Scale project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UPC NO</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>OVERSIGHT</th>
<th>ROUTE/STREET</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
<th>MATCH FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75878</td>
<td>Bridge Replacement w/o Added Capacity</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Charlottesville</td>
<td>NFO</td>
<td>9th St Ne (0020)</td>
<td>$38,078,180</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>Federal- STP/STBP</th>
<th>MATCH FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$44,502</td>
<td>$178,006</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>($249,678)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,280,739</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,656,780</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,160,904</td>
<td>$10,441,643</td>
<td>$2,656,780</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPO Notes: Smart Scale project
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UPC NO</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>NEW CONSTRUCTION ROADWAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>OVERSIGHT</th>
<th>NFO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Charlottesville</td>
<td>NFO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>ADMIN BY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HILLSDALE DRIVE EXTENDED (3 LANES)</td>
<td>Locally</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FROM: GREENBRIER DRIVE TO: HYDRAULIC ROAD (0.850 MI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| PROGRAM NOTE | |
|--------------| |
| MPO Notes | Rt 29 Solutions project. Finished, waiting financial close out. Added new road, realigned to tie into Hydraulic Rd. |

### Project Groupings

#### Construction: Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Reconstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUPING</th>
<th>ROUTE/STREET</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HILLSDALE DRIVE (U000)</td>
<td>$27,081,640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>MATCH</th>
<th>FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RW AC Federal – AC OTHER</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$548,132</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN AC Federal – AC OTHER</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$13,605,896</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| MPO Notes | |
|-----------| |
| |

#### Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUPING</th>
<th>ROUTE/STREET</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$243,333,199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>MATCH</th>
<th>FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE Federal – NHS/NHPP</td>
<td>$359,838</td>
<td>$1,439,351</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE Federal – STP/STBG</td>
<td>$188,821</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$558,344</td>
<td>$196,940</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE TOTAL</td>
<td>$548,659</td>
<td>$1,439,351</td>
<td>$558,344</td>
<td>$196,940</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE AC Federal – AC OTHER</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,358,602</td>
<td>$1,020,168</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW Federal – AC CONVERSION</td>
<td>$8,500</td>
<td>$76,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal – HSIP</td>
<td>$7,339</td>
<td>$66,051</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal – NHPP/E</td>
<td>$116,080</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$464,319</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal – NHS/NHPP</td>
<td>$1,167,127</td>
<td>$683,924</td>
<td>$3,984,583</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal – STP/STBG</td>
<td>$1,062,923</td>
<td>$658,812</td>
<td>$574,453</td>
<td>$3,018,427</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW TOTAL</td>
<td>$2,361,969</td>
<td>$1,485,287</td>
<td>$5,023,355</td>
<td>$3,018,427</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW AC Federal – AC OTHER</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,076,520</td>
<td>$472,543</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN Federal – AC CONVERSION</td>
<td>$656,127</td>
<td>$62,696</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,596,643</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal DEMO</td>
<td>$1,842</td>
<td>$7,368</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MPO Notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUPING</th>
<th>Construction: Transportation Enhancement/Byway/Non-Traditional</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROUTE/STREET</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,365,594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUNDING SOURCE</td>
<td>MATCH</td>
<td>FY24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>Federal – AC CONVERSION</td>
<td>$16,769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td>Federal – AC CONVERSION</td>
<td>$110,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – STP/STBG</td>
<td>$269,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$379,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW AC</td>
<td>Federal – AC OTHER</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Federal – AC OTHER</td>
<td>$1,211,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – AC OTHER</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MPO Notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUPING</th>
<th>Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM NOTE</td>
<td>Funding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROUTE/STREET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUNDING SOURCE</td>
<td>MATCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Federal – AC CONVERSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federal - NHS/NHPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – STP/STBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN AC</td>
<td>Federal – AC OTHER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MPO Notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUPING</th>
<th>Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance for Bridges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM NOTE</td>
<td>Funding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROUTE/STREET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUNDING SOURCE</td>
<td>MATCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Federal - NHFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federal - NHS/NHPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federal – STP/STBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MPO Notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUPING</th>
<th>Maintenance: Traffic and Safety Operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

29
Rail Projects

Operational expenses related to two trains.

FHWA considers the CMAQ-funded Amtrak projects to be exempt from air quality conformity requirements as the funding is going towards "operating assistance," which is specifically listed as being exempt in Table 2 of EPA’s Transportation conformity rule.

* Total cost includes operating expenses from previous funding and estimated expenses through FY27 as these are operating expenses without an end date.

*Amendment #1: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved addition of this project to the TIP on August 23, 2023.
CAT Summary

The following tables are based on CAT’s FY2020 Transit Development Plan (TDP). The TDP serves as a guide regarding the ongoing and future operations of CAT. It provides a review of CAT’s operational performance and objectives to direct performance improvements and expansions. All amounts are reported in units of $1,000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charlottesville Transit</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>2,867</td>
<td>2,867</td>
<td>2,954</td>
<td>2,983</td>
<td>2,998</td>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307-JAUNT</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>FTA 5307-JAUNT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>2,227</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>1,240</td>
<td>1,171</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>7,313</td>
<td>8,733</td>
<td>6,859</td>
<td>5,970</td>
<td>5,805</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>3,927</td>
<td>4,565</td>
<td>5,582</td>
<td>6,742</td>
<td>6,422</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>Revenues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>16,836</td>
<td>19,459</td>
<td>18,008</td>
<td>18,036</td>
<td>17,514</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This summary table was updated to reflect the modifications and amendments that were approved by the MPO Policy Board on January 24, 2024. Funding sources shown in the original summary table that were not programmed were removed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charlottesville Transit</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>5,410</td>
<td>5,414</td>
<td>5,171</td>
<td>5,485</td>
<td>4,024</td>
<td>FTA 5307 20,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5310</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>FTA 5310 613</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>4,170</td>
<td>4,104</td>
<td>4,282</td>
<td>3,823</td>
<td>3,775</td>
<td>FTA 5311 15,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5337</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>FTA 5337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>4,170</td>
<td>4,104</td>
<td>4,282</td>
<td>3,823</td>
<td>3,775</td>
<td>FTA 5339 4,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA ADTAP</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>FTA ADTAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSTP</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>RSTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Federal</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other Federal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>10,431</td>
<td>9,590</td>
<td>8,426</td>
<td>7,502</td>
<td>4,542</td>
<td>State 30,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>9,815</td>
<td>11,785</td>
<td>13,186</td>
<td>13,120</td>
<td>14,370</td>
<td>Local 52,461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>Revenues 2,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>32,256</td>
<td>33,486</td>
<td>33,167</td>
<td>31,788</td>
<td>27,822</td>
<td>126,263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This was the original summary table that was produced when the TIP was adopted by the MPO Policy Board. It was erroneously included as the CAT Summary even though it reflects funding received by both CAT and Jaunt. The original table remains in the TIP as historical documentation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT0001</td>
<td>Title: Operating Assistance</td>
<td>Recipient: Charlottesville Area Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>2,867</td>
<td>4,463</td>
<td>4,210</td>
<td>4,514</td>
<td>3,043</td>
<td>FTA 5307 16,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307 - Jaunt</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307 - ARP</td>
<td>1,586</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>3,231</td>
<td>3,106</td>
<td>2,972</td>
<td>2,839</td>
<td>2,896</td>
<td>State 11,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>3,514</td>
<td>4,125</td>
<td>5,252</td>
<td>5,325</td>
<td>6,525</td>
<td>Local 21,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>Revenues 922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>12,262</td>
<td>11,804</td>
<td>12,546</td>
<td>12,793</td>
<td>13,049</td>
<td>Year Total 50,191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Adjustment #1: This block was modified to include the 5307 funding that CAT passes through to Jaunt as the sub-recipient operating CAT’s paratransit services within the urbanized area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>CAT0002</th>
<th>Title: Expansion - Rolling Stock</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>Charlottesville Area Transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>1,632</td>
<td>2,389</td>
<td>1,942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>1,855</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>3,513</td>
<td>2,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Adjustment #2: This block was modified to update the FY2024 amounts based on actual funding allocations and increase the amounts anticipated in FY26 based on agency funding requests.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>CAT0002</th>
<th>Title: Replacement - Rolling Stock</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>Charlottesville Area Transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>2,180</td>
<td>3,016</td>
<td>1,255</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>3,206</td>
<td>4,435</td>
<td>1,846</td>
<td>1,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Amendment #2: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved amendments to the FY2024 amounts based on actual funding allocations for FY2024. Based on planned replacement schedule, funding will be reduced in FY2026.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP ID:</td>
<td>CAT0003</td>
<td>Title: Replacement - Rolling Stock</td>
<td>Recipient:</td>
<td>Charlottesville Area Transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>2,180</td>
<td>2,571</td>
<td>1,256</td>
<td>1,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>3,206</td>
<td>3,781</td>
<td>1,846</td>
<td>2,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>CAT0007</th>
<th>Title: Passenger Shelters</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>Charlottesville Area Transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>CAT0009</th>
<th>Title: Purchase Support Vehicles</th>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th>Charlottesville Area Transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Adjustment #3: This block was modified to update the FY2024 amounts based on actual funding allocations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP ID:</td>
<td>Previous Funding</td>
<td>FY2024</td>
<td>FY2025</td>
<td>FY2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT0009</td>
<td>Title: Purchase Support Vehicles</td>
<td>Recipient: Charlottesville Area Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT0011</td>
<td>Title: Purchase Shop Equipment</td>
<td>Recipient: Charlottesville Area Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Year Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT0012</td>
<td>Title: Purchase Vehicle Locator System</td>
<td>Recipient: Charlottesville Area Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Year Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Funding</td>
<td>FY2024</td>
<td>FY2025</td>
<td>FY2026</td>
<td>FY2027</td>
<td>Total FY2024-FY2027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIP ID:</strong> CAT0014</td>
<td><strong>Title:</strong> Purchase Misc Equipment</td>
<td><strong>Recipient:</strong> Charlottesville Area Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>FTA 5339 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>State 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Year Total 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIP ID:</strong> CAT0017</td>
<td><strong>Title:</strong> Purchase Surveillance/Security Equipment</td>
<td><strong>Recipient:</strong> Charlottesville Area Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIP ID:</strong> CAT0017</td>
<td><strong>Title:</strong> Purchase Transit Radio System</td>
<td><strong>Recipient:</strong> Charlottesville Area Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TIP ID: CAT0021

**Title:** Capital Facilities Renovations  
**Recipient:** Charlottesville Area Transit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIP ID:</td>
<td>CAT0021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,773</td>
<td>6,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,526</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,435</td>
<td>6,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,244</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21,075</td>
<td>13,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description:** Amendment #3: New project added to the TIP. This funding will go towards two capital projects. The first is improvements to the Operations Annex to include two additional bays, bays for alternative fuel vehicles and other facility improvements. The second improvement is for the Administrative Offices to include renovations and the addition of training facilities. NEPA will be completed in FY2024 for both projects, and improvements will start in FY2026 once plans are reviewed and approved.

---

### TJPDC Summary

The TJPDC received FTA 5310 starting in FY 2024 to launch a Mobility Management program to operate a one-call-one-click ride referral and information center to support improved mobility for seniors and individuals with disabilities throughout the region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>Total FY2024-FY2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIP ID:</td>
<td>TJPDC1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5310</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description:** Amendment #4: This is a new project being added to the TIP based on funding allocations for the TJPDC to launch and operate a ride referral service for seniors and individuals with disabilities.
Rail Summary
The Commonwealth programmed $111 million in CMAQ funds to the Virginia Passenger Rail Authority to be used on four state-supported Amtrak routes. FHWA confirmed CMAQ funding can be used for new Amtrak state-supported service in the Commonwealth, to be applied to gross operational and capital equipment expenses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UPC NO</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>Operational expenses related to two trains.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEM</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Charlottesville-Albemarle MPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT</td>
<td>Virginia State-Supported Amtrak Operations</td>
<td>ADMIN BY VPRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>Operating expenses for two trains on the Roanoke route (Route 46). The cost included is only for a portion of the route and a portion of the train costs estimated for the jurisdiction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM NOTE</td>
<td>TIP AMD - FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Amendment – Virginia State-Support Amtrak Operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROUTE/STREET</td>
<td>Roanoke Operations (Route 46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COST</td>
<td>$22,170,853*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND SOURCE</th>
<th>Previous Funding</th>
<th>FY24</th>
<th>FY25</th>
<th>FY26</th>
<th>FY27</th>
<th>Total FY24-27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>Federal CMAQ</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,701,699</td>
<td>$1,771,225</td>
<td>$1,904,175</td>
<td>$1,982,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>State CMAQ</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$425,425</td>
<td>$442,806</td>
<td>$476,044</td>
<td>$495,664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>VPRA</td>
<td>$3,771,469</td>
<td>$2,127,123</td>
<td>$2,214,031</td>
<td>$2,380,219</td>
<td>$2,478,318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Oversight – No federal oversight
- Regionally Significant for Air Quality – No, FHWA considers the CMAQ-funded Amtrak projects to be exempt from air quality conformity requirements as the funding is going towards “operating assistance,” which is specifically listed as being exempt in Table 2 of EPA’s Transportation conformity rule.
- * Total cost includes operating expenses from previous funding and estimated expenses through FY27 as these are operating expenses without an end date.
Jaunt Summary

JAUNT completed its 2022 Transit Development Plan in December of the same year to more closely align with operating changes and capital improvement projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>JNT0001</th>
<th>Previous Funding FY2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY 2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>3,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>2,455</td>
<td>2,641</td>
<td>2,667</td>
<td>2,694</td>
<td>2,721</td>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>10,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307 ARPA</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility Mgr (Fed)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>2,552</td>
<td>1,162</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>4,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>4,632</td>
<td>6,276</td>
<td>6,527</td>
<td>6,788</td>
<td>7,060</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>26,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>1,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total:</td>
<td>11,854</td>
<td>11,427</td>
<td>11,627</td>
<td>11,948</td>
<td>12,280</td>
<td>Total Funds:</td>
<td>47,281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #5: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.

---

1 This information is being left in the FY2024-2027 TIP for historical documentation. After the approval of the initial document, staff realized that inclusion of Jaunt’s funding in the document was in error. The 5307 funding that Jaunt receives as a sub-recipient to Charlottesville Area Transit is reflected in Charlottesville Area Transit’s Operating Assistance TIP block, and the rest of Jaunt’s funding allocations were removed from the TIP at the January 24, 2024 Policy Board meeting.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>Previous Funding FY2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY 2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JNT0002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>1,576</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>FTA 5311 3,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5339</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5339 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>State 1,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>1,154</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>Local 2,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,250</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,971</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,885</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,904</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,980</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total Funds:</strong> 7,740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #6: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>Previous Funding FY2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY 2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JNT0006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>FTA 5311 295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>State 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Local 216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>287</strong></td>
<td><strong>204</strong></td>
<td><strong>237</strong></td>
<td><strong>89</strong></td>
<td><strong>78</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total Funds:</strong> 608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #7: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.
### ADP Software

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID: JNT0009</th>
<th>Title: ADP Software</th>
<th>Recipient: JAUNT, Inc.</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY 2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>FTA 5311 813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>State 268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Local 595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year Total:</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>660</strong></td>
<td><strong>938</strong></td>
<td><strong>55</strong></td>
<td><strong>23</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total Funds:</strong> 1,676</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #8: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.

### Rehab Renovation Facility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID: JNT0012</th>
<th>Title: Rehab Renovation Facility</th>
<th>Recipient: JAUNT, Inc.</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY 2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>FTA 5311 189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>State 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Local 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year Total:</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>121</strong></td>
<td><strong>122</strong></td>
<td><strong>123</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total Funds:</strong> 390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Various projects to improve the facility

Amendment #9: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.

### Spare Parts/ACM Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID: JNT0013</th>
<th>Title: Spare Parts/ACM Items</th>
<th>Recipient: JAUNT, Inc.</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY 2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>FTA 5311 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>State 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Local 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year Total:</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>28</strong></td>
<td><strong>63</strong></td>
<td><strong>66</strong></td>
<td><strong>69</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total Funds:</strong> 226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #10: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP ID:</th>
<th>Previous Funding FY2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>FY 2027</th>
<th>Total FY 2024-2027</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIP ID: JNT0015</td>
<td>Title: Support Vehicles</td>
<td>Recipient: JAUNT, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5311</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FTA 5311 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flexible STP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>State 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Local 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Total:</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Total Funds 205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: Amendment #11: The CA-MPO Policy Board approved the removal of all Jaunt’s projects from the TIP on January 24, 2024 due to the determination that they are not direct recipients of federal funding in the urbanized area.
Appendix A. Projects by Grouping
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# Appendix A

## Projects by Grouping

### Charlottesville MPO

#### Construction: Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Reconstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UPC</th>
<th>Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>71270</td>
<td>Culpeper District-wide</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BRIDGE REHABILITATION/REPLACEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>110008</td>
<td>Albemarle County CROZET AVENUE (0240)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,331,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#5GVR18V6 - RT 240 CROZET AVE STR 589 OVER LICKINGHOLE CREEK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: 0.084 Mi. South of Lickinghole Creek TO: 0.031 Mi. North of Lickinghole Creek (0.1150 Mi)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>110008</td>
<td>Albemarle County FRAY'S MILL ROAD (0941)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,912,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#5GVR18V6 - RT 641 FRAYS MILL RD STR 709 OVER MARSH RUN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: 0.03 Mi. FROM RTE. 743 TO: 2.37 Mi. TO RTE. 606</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>111373</td>
<td>Albemarle County RED HILL ROAD (0708)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,381,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#5GVR18V6 - RT 708 RED HILL RD STRUCT 732 OVER N.F. HARDWARE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: 0.022 Mi. E. of North Fork Hardware River TO: 0.084 Mi. W. of North Fork Hardware River (0.0860 Mi)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Construction: Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Reconstruction Total**

**$6,624,326**

#### Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UPC</th>
<th>Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>110330</td>
<td>Albemarle County #54 CIP - CCTV Culpeper District</td>
<td></td>
<td>183,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: WB 102.4 TO: WB 102.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>110333</td>
<td>Culpeper District-wide</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#54 CIP - CMS CULPEPER DISTRICT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>110446</td>
<td>Statewide #54 CIP - CULPEPER DISTRICT SSP</td>
<td></td>
<td>282,535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>110446</td>
<td>Statewide #54 CIP - PUBLIC SAFETY ADVISORY POINTS INTEGRATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>117790</td>
<td>Statewide #ITTTF2 1 STUDY OF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES - 4-81</td>
<td></td>
<td>382,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: various TO: various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>118193</td>
<td>Statewide #54 CIP CRO SSP FY23-26</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,744,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: 95 Various TO: 1-86 Various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119154</td>
<td>Statewide #54 CIP PUBLIC SERVICE ADVISORY (PSAP) INTEGRATIONS STATEWIDE</td>
<td></td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119155</td>
<td>Statewide #54 CIP WORK ZONE DEMONSTRATION SAFETY GRANT</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: various TO: various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix is for informational purposes only.
### Charlottesville MPO

#### Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UPC</th>
<th>Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>110511</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>TRAFFIC VIDEO EXPANSION (P3AP) - STATEWIDE</td>
<td>$307,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>110912</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Statewide Truck Parking Management System - Phase 1</td>
<td>$813,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>111613</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>STATEWIDE TRUCK PARKING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM - PHASE 2</td>
<td>$1,807,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>111992</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>ATMS - PHASE 1, 2, 3, 4</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: n/a TO: n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>115854</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF20 ARTERIAL OPERATIONS PROGRAM DASHBOARD</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>115856</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF20 PARKING DEMAND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM</td>
<td>$1,950,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119197</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF22 OSPREY FIBER CONNECTIONS - STATEWIDE</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119198</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF22 HIGH SPEED COMMUNICATIONS FOR SIGNALS (PHASE II)</td>
<td>$25,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119199</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF22 STUDY FOR SMARTER LIGHTING INITIATIVE STATEWIDE</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119312</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF22 DATA-DRIVEN MGMT PROGRAM FOR PAVEMENT MARKING</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119370</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF22 CONNECTED WORK ZONES PROGRAM STATEWIDE</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119401</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF22 PROJECT EVALUATIONS STATEWIDE</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119402</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF22 INCIDENT RESPONSE OPTIMIZATION -STATEWIDE</td>
<td>$1,030,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119404</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF22 GUIDE LIGHTS FOR SPEED MANAGEMENT STATEWIDE</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: various TO: various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>119406</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>#ITTF22 AUTOMATED SPEED ENFORCEMENT PILOT STATEWIDE</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Construction : Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UPC</th>
<th>Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>111727</td>
<td>Albemarle County MONACAN TRAIL (0029)</td>
<td>I-64 / ROUTE 29 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS FROM: 0.22 MI NORTH OF ROUTE 1100 TO: 0.37 MI NORTH OF ROUTE 1100 (0.1500 MI)</td>
<td>$2,080,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>111813</td>
<td>Albemarle County ROUTE 29 (0029)</td>
<td>#SMART18 - NB US 29 exit ramp to Fontaine Avenue FROM: 0.29 MILES N. of I-64 WB BRIDGE TO: Fontaine Avenue (0.3500 MI)</td>
<td>$2,529,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>114298</td>
<td>Albemarle County SEMINOLE TRAIL (0029)</td>
<td>ROUTE 29 AND WOODBROOK INTERSECTION MODIFICATION FROM: Woodbrook Dr TO: Woodbrook Dr (0.0000 MI)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>114666</td>
<td>Albemarle County 0029</td>
<td>PSAP - Pedestrian Facility Improvements in Albemarle County FROM: Various Locations TO: Various Locations</td>
<td>$407,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>114401</td>
<td>Culpeper District-wide SEMINOLE TRAIL (0029)</td>
<td>Signal Performance Metric - ATSC FROM: Rte. 649 TO: Stone Ridge Drive</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>111729</td>
<td>Albemarle County IVY ROAD (0250)</td>
<td>ROUTE 250 / 240 / 880 ROUNDBOOUT FROM: INTERSECTION OF ROUTES 250 / 240 / 880 TO: INTERSECTION OF ROUTES 250 / 240 / 880</td>
<td>$3,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>111814</td>
<td>Albemarle County RICHMOND ROAD (0250)</td>
<td>#SMART18 - EXIT 124 (INTERSTATE 64) FROM: 0.32 MILES E. FR. 179 (HANSENS MTN ROAD) TO: 0.02 MILES W. FR. 179 (HANSENS MTN ROAD) (0.3400 MI)</td>
<td>$18,102,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>115477</td>
<td>Albemarle County RICHMOND ROAD (0250)</td>
<td>#SMART20 - RTE. 250 &amp; RTE. 20 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS FROM: 0.10 M. E. RTE. 20 TO: 0.10 M. W. RTE. 20 (0.2000 MI)</td>
<td>$8,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>115476</td>
<td>Charlottesville 5TH STREET (0099)</td>
<td>#SMART20 - 5TH STREET SW CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS FROM: RIDGE STREET TO: E. AT UNDIVIDED 5TH STREET</td>
<td>$6,103,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>109480</td>
<td>Charlottesville EMMET ST. N. (0000)</td>
<td>E. MARKET ST. / 9TH ST. N.E. / E. HIGH ST. (0000)</td>
<td>$7,157,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>109551</td>
<td>Charlottesville EMMET ST. N. (0000)</td>
<td>#HE2.FY17 EAST HIGH STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS FROM: INT. E. MARKET ST. / 7TH ST. N.E. TO: E. HIGH ST. / LOCUST AVE. (0.3600 MI)</td>
<td>$12,908,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>111795</td>
<td>Charlottesville EMMET STREET (0029)</td>
<td>#SMART18 - BARRACKS RD @ EMMET ST INTERSECTION FROM: 0.08 MILES OF INT. BARRACKS ROAD TO: 0.01 MILES OF INT. BARRACKS ROAD (0.0900 MI)</td>
<td>$8,640,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>109484</td>
<td>Charlottesville FONTAINE AVENUE (0000)</td>
<td>#HE2.FY17 FONTAINE AVENUE STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS FROM: RAY C HUNT DRIVE TO: JEFFERSON PARK AVENUE (0.4300 MI)</td>
<td>$11,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>113016</td>
<td>Charlottesville GRADY AVENUE (0250)</td>
<td>10TH &amp; GRADY AVENUE BIKE PED FROM: 0.04 EAST OF ROUTE 3423 TO: 0.06 WEST OF ROUTE 3423 (0.1000 MI)</td>
<td>$201,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121564 Statewide #ITTF23 LEVERAGING CONNECTED CAR DATA FOR IMPROVED SAFETY FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121653 Statewide #ITTF23 - COOPERATIVE FREEWAY MANAGEMENT STUDY: NOVA/FRED FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121654 Statewide #ITTF23 OPERATIONALIZE TRAFFIC OPERATIONS SUPPORT CENTER FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121655 Statewide #ITTF23 IMPLEMENT AI-BASED INTEGRATED SECURITY PREDICTION FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121663 Statewide #ITTF23 IITF PROJECT EVALUATIONS FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121667 Statewide #ITTF23 RMSP DEP Data Services FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,575,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121668 Statewide #ITTF23 REAL-TIME INFORMATION DISSEMINATION FOR CMVs FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121670 Statewide #ITTF23 ADVANCED ROAD WEATHER INFORMATION SYSTEMS STUDY FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121712 Statewide NETWORK OPERATIONS CENTER IMPLEMENTATION FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121773 Statewide HARD SHOULDER RUNNING FEASIBILITY STUDY: Technology component FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>121822 Statewide #ITTF23 STATEWIDE FIBER NETWORK ENHANCEMENTS FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>122048 Statewide #ITTF23 - RMSP EVALUATION FROM: various TO: various</td>
<td>VARIOUS (9999)</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>T10275 Culpeper District-wide #SAFE/ITS/OPERATIONAL/IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>121537 Culpeper District-wide District-wide Flashing Yellow Arrows Installations FROM: VARIOUS TO: VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>121043 Statewide #ITTF23 SMART INTERSECTIONS DEPLOYMENT SUPPORT FROM: Various TO: Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Jurisdiction / Name / Description</td>
<td>Street(Route)</td>
<td>Estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>STONY POINT ROAD (0020)</td>
<td>$4,207,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART18 - ROUTE 20/649 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT FROM: 0.119 MILE SOUTH OF RT. 649 TO: 0.058 MILE NORTH OF RT. 649 (0.3600 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>SCOTTSVILLE ROAD (0020)</td>
<td>$10,371,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART22 - RTE. 20/53 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS FROM: 0.25 MILES S. RTE 53 TO: 0.10 MILES N. RTE 53 (0.3500 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>MONACAN TRAIL (0029)</td>
<td>$2,360,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I-84 / ROUTE 20 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS FROM: 0.152 MILE SOUTH OF I-84 EB TO: 0.010 MILE SOUTH OF I-84 EB (0.1500 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>ROUTE 29 (0029)</td>
<td>$2,321,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART18 - NB US 29 ext ramp to Fontaine Avenue FROM: 208 Miles South of Fontaine Ave TO: 0.017 Miles South of Fontaine Ave (0.3500 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>SEMINOLE TRAIL (0029)</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Signal Performance Metric - ATSC FROM: Rte. 649 TO: Stone Ridge Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>RTE. 29 BYPASS (0029)</td>
<td>$13,440,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART22 - US 29 AND FONTAINE AVE INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS FROM: S. FONTAINE INTERCHANGE RAMP TO: N. FONTAINE INTERCHANGE RAMP (0.7000 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>SEMINOLE TRAIL (0029)</td>
<td>$3,324,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART22 - RTE 20 SHARED USE PATH FROM: CARRS BROOKE DR. TO: SEMINOLE LANE (0.5000 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Charlottesville</td>
<td>EMMET STREET (0029)</td>
<td>$20,465,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART22 - EMMET STREET MULTIMODAL PHASE II FROM: ARLINGTON BLVD TO: BARRACKS ROAD (0.4500 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Multi-jurisdictional: Charlottesville MPO</td>
<td>SEMINOLE TRAIL (0029)</td>
<td>$28,254,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART22 - HYDRAULIC ROAD AND RTE. 29 FROM: ANGUS ROAD TO: 0.24 MILE NORTH OF HYDRAULIC ROAD (0.5300 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>IVY ROAD (0250)</td>
<td>$4,539,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ROUTE 250 / 240 / 880 ROUNDABOUT FROM: INTERSECTION OF ROUTES 250 / 240 / 880 TO: INTERSECTION OF ROUTES 250 / 240 / 880</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>RICHMOND ROAD (0250)</td>
<td>$16,102,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART18 - EXIT 124 (INTERSTATE 64) FROM: 0.337 MILE WEST OF I-84 WB TO: 0.321 MILE EAST OF I-84 WB (0.3400 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>RICHMOND ROAD (0250)</td>
<td>$6,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART20 - RTE. 250 &amp; RTE. 20 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS FROM: 0.10 M. E. RTE. 20 TO: 0.10 M. W. RTE. 20 (0.2000 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>RICHMOND ROAD (0250)</td>
<td>$5,939,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART22 - RTE 250 EAST CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS FROM: STONEY POINT ROAD TO: ROLKIN ROAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Charlottesville</td>
<td>MINTRE ROAD BUSINESS (0250)</td>
<td>$1,039,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Construct Multi-Use Path along McIntire Rd FROM: Route 250 Bypass TO: Harris Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Albemarle County</td>
<td>6TH STREET EXTENDED (0831)</td>
<td>$7,707,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#SMART22 - OLD LYNCHBURG RD/5TH ST EXT. INT IMPROVEMENTS FROM: 0.25 MILES S. RTE 760 TO: 0.25 MILES N. RTE 760 (0.5000 MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UFC Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>118876 Albemarle County RIO ROAD (0631) #3MART22 - RIO ROAD &amp; JOHN WARNER PARKWAY ROUNDBOUD FROM: 0.02 MILES N RTE. 631 TO: 0.02 MILES S. RTE 631</td>
<td>$10,897,520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>118877 Charlottesville RIDGE STREET (0999) #3MART22 - RIDGE STREET SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS FROM: DIVIDED SECTION TO: MONTICELLO AVE (0.2400 MI)</td>
<td>$8,738,020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>115476 Charlottesville 5TH STREET (0999) #3MART20 - 5TH STREET SW CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS FROM: RIDGE STREET TO: E. AT UNDIVIDED 5TH STREET</td>
<td>$4,103,034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>108460 Charlottesville E. MARKET ST. / 5TH. ST. N.E. / E. HIGH ST. (0000) #H2.81.17 EAST HIGH STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS FROM: 3.15 mile s south of Route 260 Bus TO: 3.72 mile south of Route 260 (0.3900 MI)</td>
<td>$9,605,921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>108551 Charlottesville EMMET ST. N. (0000) #H2.81.17 EMMET STREET CORRIDOR STREETSCAPE &amp; INTERSECTIONS FROM: 0.04 mile south of Ivy Road on Emmet Street TO: ARLINGTON BOULEVARD (0.5500 MI)</td>
<td>$16,844,339</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>111796 Charlottesville EMMET STREET (0029) #3MART18 - BARRACKS RD @ EMMET ST INTERSECTION FROM: 5.00 MI S OF INT. BARRACKS ROAD TO: 0.36 MI N OF INT. BARRACKS ROAD (0.9800 MI)</td>
<td>$8,840,866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>108494 Charlottesville FONTAINE AVENUE (0000) #H2.81.17 FONTAINE AVENUE STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS FROM: 0.03 mi west of Westerly Avenue TO: JEFFERSON PARK AVENUE (0.4300 MI)</td>
<td>$12,276,431</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>113916 Charlottesville GRADY AVENUE (0250) 10TH &amp; GRADY AVENUE BIKE PED FROM: 0.04 EAST OF ROUTE 3423 TO: 0.06 WEST OF ROUTE 3423 (0.1000 MI)</td>
<td>$291,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>113881 Charlottesville MADISON AVENUE (0000) WASHINGTON PARK/MADISON AVENUE BIKE CONNECTOR TRAIL FROM: PRESTON AVENUE TO: ROSE HILL DRIVE</td>
<td>$222,950</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>113017 Charlottesville MONTICELLO AVENUE (3402) PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS AT MONTICELLO AVE/2ND FROM: 0.026 mi west of 2nd St SE TO: 0.022 mi east of 2nd St SE (0.0500 Mi)</td>
<td>$981,862</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>113019 Charlottesville PRESTON AVENUE (0250) PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS AT PRESTON AVE/HARRIS ST FROM: 0.06 MI EAST OF HARRIS STREET TO: 0.04 MI WEST OF HARRIS STREET (0.1000 MI)</td>
<td>$245,725</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>113919 Charlottesville RIDGE STREET (3400) PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS AT RIDGE/CHERRY FROM: 0.07 MI SOUTH OF ROUTE 3400 TO: 0.03 MI NORTH OF ROUTE 3400 (0.1000 MI)</td>
<td>$255,230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements Total** $243,333,199

## Construction: Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UFC Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement</td>
<td>111393 Charlottesville E17 Rugby Avenue Shared Use Path FROM: West McIntire Park TO: Sherwood Road</td>
<td>$410,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Construction: Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement</td>
<td>121656 Charlottesville</td>
<td>EV22 CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE SRTS COORDINATOR/PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT FROM: VARIOUS TO: VARIOUS</td>
<td>$104,504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>T10279 Culpeper District-wide</td>
<td>0000 CN: TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT/BYWAYS/OTHER NON-TRADITIONAL</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>118870 Multi-jurisdictional: Charlottesville MPO 5TH STREET (8691) #SMART22 - FIFTH STREET HUB AND TRAILS FROM: 5th St. Station development TO: 5th St. Parking Lot (0.2400 MI)</td>
<td>$6,841,360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Construction: Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional Total** $10,305,894

### Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>T14710 Culpeper District-wide</td>
<td>0000 STIF-MN Culpeper: Preventive MN and System Preservation</td>
<td>$46,752,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>118295 Charlottesville DAIRY ROAD (9699) #GGR218B - DAIRY ROAD OVER RTE 250 BYPASS (FED ID 20072) FROM: DAIRY RD OVER RTE 250 BYPASS TO: DAIRY RD OVER RTE 250 BYPASS</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>118882 Charlottesville RTE 250 BYPASS (0250) #GGR22LP - RTE 250 BYPASS - CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE FROM: FIRE STATION TO: RUGBY AVE (0.3400 MI)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation Total** $46,752,817

### Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance for Bridges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>T14709 Culpeper District-wide</td>
<td>0000 STIF-MN Culpeper: Preventive MN for Bridges</td>
<td>$18,387,025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance for Bridges Total** $18,387,025

### Maintenance: Traffic and Safety Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description</th>
<th>Street(Route)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>T14708 Culpeper District-wide</td>
<td>0000 STIF-MN Culpeper: Traffic and Safety Operations</td>
<td>$6,237,514</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maintenance: Traffic and Safety Operations Total** $6,237,514

**Charlottesville MPO Total** $339,701,373
Appendix B. Transit Asset Management

Transit Asset Management Plans

The National Transit Asset Management System Final Rule (49 U.S.C 625) specifies four performance measures, which apply to four TAM asset categories: equipment, rolling stock, infrastructure, and facilities. Figure 2 describes each of these measures.

**Figure 2: TAM Performance Measures by Asset Category**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Category</th>
<th>Relevant Assets</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Measure Type</th>
<th>Desired Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Service support, maintenance, and other non-revenue vehicles</td>
<td>Percentage of vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB</td>
<td>Age-based</td>
<td>Minimize percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling Stock</td>
<td>Buses, vans, and sedans; light and heavy rail cars; commuter rail cars and locomotives; ferry boats</td>
<td>Percentage of revenue vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB</td>
<td>Age-based</td>
<td>Minimize percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Fixed guideway track</td>
<td>Percentage of track segments with performance (speed) restrictions, by mode</td>
<td>Performance-based</td>
<td>Minimize percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>Passenger stations, parking facilities, administration and maintenance facilities</td>
<td>Percentage of assets with condition rating lower than 3.0 on FTA TERM Scale</td>
<td>Condition-based</td>
<td>Minimize percentage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FTA = Federal Transit Administration. TAM = Transit Asset Management. TERM = Transit Economic Requirements Model. ULB = Useful Life Benchmark.

Two definitions apply to these performance measures:

- **Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)**—"The expected lifecycle of a capital asset for a particular transit provider’s operating environment, or the acceptable period of use in service for a particular transit provider’s operating environment." For example, FTA’s default ULB of a bus is 14 years.

- **FTA Transit Economic Requirements Model (TERM) Scale**—A rating system used in FTA’s TERM to describe asset condition. The scale values are 1 (poor), 2 (margin), 3 (adequate), 4 (good), and 5 (excellent).

The National Transit Asset Management System Final Rule (49 U.S.C. 625) requires that all transit agencies that receive federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 and own, operate, or manage capital assets used in the provision of public transportation create a TAM plan. Agencies are required to fulfill this requirement through an individual or group plan. The TAM rule provides two tiers of requirements for transit agencies based on size and operating characteristics:

- A Tier I agency operates rail, OR has 101 vehicles or more all fixed route modes, OR has 101 vehicles or more in one non-fixed route mode.
• A Tier II agency is a subrecipient of FTA 5311 funds, OR is an American Indian Tribe, OR has 100 or less vehicles across all fixed route modes, OR has 100 vehicles or less in one non-fixed route mode.

The Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) is the sponsor for the Statewide Tier II Group Plan. The Charlottesville Albemarle MPO programs federal transportation funds for Charlottesville Area Transit and JAUNT. Charlottesville Area Transit and JAUNT are Tier II agencies participating in the DRPT sponsored group TAM Plan. The MPO has integrated the goals measures and targets described in the Federal Fiscal Year 2018 Group Transit Asset Management Plan and 2020 plan Addendum into the MPO’s planning and programming process specific targets for the Tier II Group TAM Plan are included in the table below.

Table 3: TAM Targets for rolling stock and facilities: Percentage of Revenue Vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB by Asset Type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Category - Performance Measure</th>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>2020 Target*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Vehicles</td>
<td>AB - Articulated Bus</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BU - Bus</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CU - Cutaway</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB - Minibus</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BR - Over-the-Road Bus</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TB - Trolley Bus</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VN - Van</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Non-Revenue/Service Automobile</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>Administrative and Maintenance Facility</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administrative Office</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintenance Facility</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Passenger Facilities</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional information and guidance is available on FTAs Transit Asset Management website:
https://www.transit.dot.gov/TAM
FTA TAM planning factsheet:
Appendix C. Self-Certification Statement

Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization
POB 1505, 401 E. Water St, Charlottesville, VA 22902 www.tjpdc.org
(434) 979-7310 phone; (434) 979-1597 fax; info@tjpdc.org email

Metropolitan Transportation Planning Process
Self-Certification Statement

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.336, the Virginia Department of Transportation and the
Charlottesville-Albemarle Metropolitan Planning Organization for the City of Charlottesville and
the urbanized area of Albemarle County hereby certify that the transportation planning process is
addressing the major issues in the metropolitan planning area and is being conducted in
accordance with all applicable requirements of:

II. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) & 49 CFR
part 21;
III. 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national
origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
IV. Section 1101(b) of the SAFETEA-LU (Pub. L. 109-59) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding
the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects;
V. 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity
program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
VI. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et
seq.) and 49 CFR parts 27, 37 and 38;
VII. In States containing nonattainment and maintenance areas, sections 174 and 176 (c)
and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506 (c) and (d)) and 40
CFR part 93;
VIII. The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination
on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
IX. Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C., regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on
gender; and
X. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27
regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Charlottesville-Albemarle MPO

Signature
Christine E. Jacobs
Printed Name
Executive Director
Title
5/9/2023
Date

Virginia Department of Transportation

Signature
Joan A. Johnson
Printed Name
Director, Engineer
Title
5/24/2023
Date
Dear CA-MPO Policy Board,

The Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission (TJPDC) plans to apply for an FTA 5310 Program grant for mobility management. If funding is received, the TJPDC will continue to work with transportation and human service providers to enhance transportation services for older adults and people with disabilities. The TJPDC intends to continue providing one-click-one-call information and referral services to assist older adults and people with disabilities in finding transportation services. The service area covers Region 10 and works with the human services network to reach those most in need.

The TJPDC’s mobility management program works with transportation providers in the region by referring callers to transit services and assisting with coordination or other types of support as needed, within the confines of the FTA Section 5310 Program. Funds are being requested ($238,637) from the Commonwealth of Virginia’s Department of Rail and public Transportation to support staff to run the call center and provide referrals through the FTA Section 5310 Program. It is the intent of this federal program to maximize coordination amongst transportation providers to the greatest extent possible to enhance resource-sharing opportunities. Staff recommends that the Policy Board entertain a motion to send the attached letter of support to the staff of the Regional Transit Partnership to accompany TJPDC’s application for this FTA 5310 Program mobility management grant.

Sincerely,

Christine Jacobs
Executive Director
Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission